

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Clinical efficacy of single intraoperative 500 mg methylprednisolone management therapy for thoracic myelopathy caused by ossification of the ligamentum flavum



Xiaoyang Huo^{1,2†}, Jiaming Zhou^{1,2†}, Shiwei Liu^{1,2†}, Xing Guo^{1,2} and Yuan Xue^{1,2*}

Abstract

Background: The objective of our study was to compare clinical outcome and postoperative complications between patients with thoracic myelopathy caused by ossification of the ligamentum flavum (OLF) treated with and without intraoperative methylprednisolone (MP).

Methods: This retrospective study enrolled 101 patients who underwent posterior approach surgery for OLF and were followed up at least 1 year. Patients were divided into two groups according to MP use in the operation: MP group ($n = 47$) and non-MP group ($n = 54$). Clinical outcomes and complications were evaluated before and after operation and at the last follow-up.

Results: Significant differences were found in modified Japanese Orthopedics Association (mJOA) scores and proportion of Frankel grade (A-C) between the two groups immediately after surgery and at 2-week follow-up. No significant differences were found between the two groups in mJOA score before operation and at the final follow-up. Moreover, no significant differences were observed in recovery rate according to mJOA score at any time points, and there was no significant difference in the proportion of Frankel grade (A-C) between the two groups at final follow-up. There were 13 documented infections: 10 in the MP group and 3 in the non-MP group ($P = 0.034$).

Conclusion: Management therapy with intraoperative 500 mg MP showed better recovery of nerve function within 2 weeks in patients with thoracic myelopathy caused by OLF compared with those did not receive MP. However, long-term follow-up results showed that there was no significant difference in neurological recovery between patients with intraoperative MP or not. Moreover, intraoperative MP increased the rate of wound infection.

Keywords: Methylprednisolone, Ossification of the ligamentum flavum, Thoracic spine surgery, Clinical outcome, Surgical site infection

* Correspondence: xueyuanzy@163.com

[†]Xiaoyang Huo, Jiaming Zhou and Shiwei Liu are the authors contributed equally to this work and should be considered co-first authors.

¹Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Tianjin Medical University General Hospital, Tianjin, China

²Tianjin Key Laboratory of Spine and Spinal Cord, Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China



© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Background

Ossification of the ligamentum flavum (OLF) has been widely regarded by clinicians as a primary reason for thoracic myelopathy in Asia [1]. The major pathological mechanism of OLF is local compression of the ligament [1]. For patients with thoracic myelopathy unresponsive to conservative treatment, surgery is a routine treatment. To achieve favorable clinical results, the area around the spinal cord must be fully decompressed [2, 3]. Posterior laminectomy decompression to remove the hypertrophic and ossified ligamentum flavum is the most common surgical procedure in thoracic spine surgery for the treatment of OLF [4]. However, the thoracic spinal canal is very narrow compared to the cervical spinal canal and lumbar spinal canal, and blood flow in the thoracic spinal cord is weak [5]. In addition, the ossified ligament often sticks to the dura mater, making the operation very difficult [5, 6]. The postoperative recovery of functional outcome is also poor [1].

Methylprednisolone (MP) is a steroid that has been widely used in various clinical diseases owing to its potent anti-inflammatory effect. When administered within the first 8 h after traumatic spinal cord injury, MP has been reported to improve neurological outcomes and short-term motor scores [7]. Nevertheless, evidence suggests that steroids compromise the peripheral immune system regardless of injury or injury conditions [8–10]. Pia et al. verified that in mouse models, MP protects neurons from inflammation by not damaging a portion of circulating immune cells, thereby reducing perioperative neurological complications following decompression of cervical myelopathy. Hence, they recommend that MP should be considered as a perioperative management therapy to alleviate neurological complications associated with decompression surgery [11]. However, the effect of intraoperative steroid application in spine surgery has been doubted by some clinicians [12, 13].

The use of intraoperative steroids remains debatable in spine surgery. Furthermore, its effect in thoracic myelopathy caused by OLF is unknown. Hence, the aim of this study was to elucidate the clinical outcome of intraoperative MP on the efficacy of posterior approach surgery for treating thoracic myelopathy caused by OLF, as observed over a minimum 1 year of follow-up.

Methods

Population

Between December 2009 and December 2017, under approval of our institutional review board, a retrospective study was conducted involving patients with thoracic OLF who underwent posterior decompression. All patients were selected from a common referral pool, and the surgeries were performed by the same team. Patients

with thoracic OLF who underwent posterior decompression and fusion were included in the study. The exclusion criteria included patients who underwent revision surgery, staged surgery, or surgery due to infection or malignancy. Patients who were unavailable for follow-up, pregnant women, dialysis patients, and chemotherapy patients were also excluded. Computed tomography (CT) was used to divide OLF into unilateral, bilateral, or bridged type. Sagittal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) classified OLF into round or beak shape [14]. T2-weighted MRI was used before surgery to determine the target area for decompression. Postoperative modified Japanese Orthopedics Association (mJOA) score and proportion of Frankel grade (A-C) were assessed.

Surgical protocol

Surgeries were performed by the same team consisting of three spine surgeons in our hospital. Support staff, operating rooms, surgery equipment, and post-anesthesia care unit were uniform. The patients received perioperative antibiotic therapy based on the standard process at 1 h before the incision, and a weight-based dose of cefazolin was provided. For patients allergic to cephalosporin, clindamycin was used. Between December 2009 to March 2015, patients with surgery were administered MP intraoperatively; after March 2015, patients treated by surgery were not given MP. Hence, patients were divided into two groups after surgery: the MP ($n = 47$ [46.5%]) and non-MP ($n = 54$ [53.5%]) groups. For patients in the MP group, a single dose of 500 mg MP was given via peripheral vein before the laminectomy, and the process was completed within 1 h. All patients were performed an open surgery with or without the use of an operative microscope.

Data collection and clinical assessment

Data on patients' demographics, comorbidities, clinical outcomes, and postoperative hospitalization complications were collected. Demographic items included age, sex, body mass index, smoking history, and alcohol consumption history. Comorbidities included hypertension and diabetes. Operative characteristics included blood loss, operative time, intraoperative transfusion, and number of operated thoracic levels. Postoperative complications included surgical site infection (SSI), pneumonia, deep vein thrombosis, neurologic worsening, and cerebrospinal fluid leak. mJOA scores and Frankel grading scores were evaluated at clinical follow-up.

Statistical analysis

Data analysis was performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS version 20.0, Chicago, IL). Data are reported as mean with standard deviation. Unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test or Wilcoxon test were

used to compare continuous data, and Fisher’s exact test was used to classify data. All tests were two-sided and significant if the $P < 0.05$.

Results

Patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1. A total of 101 patients were recruited in our study, including 47 patients who received MP (MP group) and 54 patients who did not receive MP (non-MP group). No significant differences in demographics and comorbid characteristics were observed between both groups. Also, there is no obvious difference in OLF types and intensity change on MRI between two groups (Table 2). In addition, both groups corresponded well in terms of surgical complexity (Table 3). A patient with OLF in MP group was shown in Fig. 1.

The clinical outcomes of the patients are listed in Table 4. For mJOA scores, significant differences between the two groups were observed immediately after surgery and at the 2-week follow-up. Moreover, the proportions of Frankel grade (A-C) scores were significantly higher in the MP group than in the non-MP group immediately after surgery and at the 2-week follow-up. No significant differences in mJOA and Frankel scores were observed before surgery and at the last follow-up. The duration of follow-up months for the two groups were 18.00 ± 3.69 months and 17.72 ± 3.51 months, respectively ($P = 0.758$).

Postoperative complications are listed in Table 5. There were 13 documented infections: 10 in the MP group and 3 in the non-MP group ($P = 0.034$). There were no significant differences between the two groups in the incidence of other complications, such as deep vein thrombosis ($P = 1.000$), pneumonia ($P = 0.413$), neurologic worsening ($P = 0.672$), and cerebrospinal fluid leak ($P = 0.644$). Durotomies in both groups were mainly repaired by microsurgery. All infection cases were cured by antibiotics combined with wound drainage.

Table 1 Characteristics of patients undergoing thoracic spine surgery

Items	MP (n = 47)	Non-MP(n = 54)	P-Value
Age	47.47 ± 13.36	51.07 ± 16.19	0.348
Male	26 (55.3%)	31 (57.4%)	0.843
BMI	23.04 ± 5.85	24.02 ± 5.69	0.837
Hypertension	36 (76.6%)	39 (72.2%)	0.655
Diabetes	12 (25.5%)	11 (20.1%)	0.636
Smoking history	24 (64.9%)	26 (48.1%)	0.843
Alcohol history	31 (66.0%)	31 (57.4%)	0.418

Values are reported as number (percent) or mean ± standard deviation. BMI body mass index

Table 2 OLF type and Intensity change in MRI of spinal cord

Items	MP (n = 47)	Non-MP(n = 54)	P-Value
Type (axial CT)			
Unilateral	24(51%)	23(43%)	0.329
Bilateral	18(38%)	28(52%)	
Bridged	5(11%)	3(6%)	
Type (sagittal MRI)			
Beak	9(19%)	7(13%)	0.426
Round	38(81%)	47(87%)	
T2 high Intensity	34(72%)	32(59%)	0.210

Values are reported as number (percent). OLF ossification of the ligamentum flavum, CT computed tomography, MRI magnetic resonance imaging

Discussion

OLF is a primary reason for thoracic myelopathy, and it can result in paralysis of the lower extremities in severe cases. It usually causes blunt spinal compression, and conservative treatment is usually ineffective. Surgery is the only effective method to treat OLF [15]. However, surgical intervention of the thoracic spine has high incidence of complications [16, 17]. SSI after posterior thoracic spine surgery is the most common complication and the reason for revision surgery. The incidence of postoperative SSI ranges from less than 3% in discectomy and laminectomy to approximately 12% in instrument fusion surgery [18]. The effect of SSI on morbidity and clinical outcome cannot be ignored [19, 20]. Our study found that intraoperative administration of 500 mg MP accelerated the 2-week neurological recovery of patients with thoracic myelopathy due to OLF. However, an increase in infection rate was also observed in those who received intraoperative 500 mg MP.

Steroids can alleviate inflammatory responses by inhibiting chemotactic accumulation of inflammatory cells, adhesion of leukocytes, and release of histamine and kinins. Steroids have been shown to reduce phospholipase A2 activity, inhibit nociceptive C fiber conduction, stabilize cell membranes, and inhibit prostaglandin synthesis [21]. MP is the least irritant and the most effective steroids, with the longest time [22]. There is an evidence that in spinal surgery, steroids reduce neuropathic pain by preventing spontaneous nerve discharge from injured nerves [23]. In addition, preoperative steroids can repair systemic inflammatory responses and inhibit iatrogenic defects [24]. Furthermore, it has been reported that steroids protect neurons from inflammation without impairing the composition of circulating immune cells, thereby reducing perioperative neurological complications following cervical decompression surgery [11]. The mechanism of action of steroids is not fully understood. Thus, future studies are required to advance our understanding of this mechanism [25].

Table 3 Surgical characteristics

Items	MP (n = 47)	Non-MP (n = 54)	P-Value
Operative time (min)	186.38 ± 77.44	192.22 ± 62.95	0.148
Blood loss (ml)	469.79 ± 95.61	453.28 ± 106.8	0.687
Intra-op transfusion	8 (17.0%)	11 (20.4%)	0.800
No. of operated thoracic levels	3.89 ± 0.84	4.20 ± 0.87	0.481

Values are reported as number (percent) or mean ± standard deviation. *Intra-op* intraoperative, *no.* of number of

Although the effect of management with intraoperative steroids on postoperative complications and prognosis of spinal surgery is still unclear, some spine studies found no correlation between steroid use and better postoperative outcomes. For example, a prospective study by Bednar et al. [13] indicated that patients treated with and without intraoperative steroid showed no significant difference in wound healing or infection rate. In fact, some studies have shown negative postoperative prognosis associated with intraoperative steroid treatment. Christian et al. [12] found that intraoperative steroid management had no effect on the postoperative outcome of cervical spine surgery, and that it increased the rate of wound infection. Similarly, our study confirmed that intraoperative steroid administration had no effect on the long-term outcome of thoracic spine surgery, and that SSI rate was higher in patients who received intraoperative steroid than in those who did not. However, unlike previous studies, the present study also found that steroids

promoted the recovery of neurological function within 2 weeks.

On the contrary, perioperative and intraoperative applications of steroids were found to be effective in several studies. For example, a prospective study reported that patients treated with perioperative steroids showed significant improvement in short-term and long-term functional outcomes [26]. In addition, Song et al. [27] indicated that short-term use of systemic MP after anterior cervical discectomy and fusion was effective in reducing dysphagia and reducing prevertebral soft tissue swelling. Moreover, short-term application of MP was not associated with postoperative infection. Furthermore, Anders et al. [26] found that steroid treatment reduced pain and improved functional outcome and prolonged hospital stay after microscopic disc surgery. An analogous study showed that patients receiving steroids for lumbar decompression or cervical radiculopathy had shorter hospital stay and less postoperative pain




Fig. 1 A patient with OLF received intraoperative MP. Preoperative CT and MRI show OLF at T3-T5 (**a-d**). The larger ossified ligament flavum (T4-T5) is unilateral (**b**, axial CT) and round type (**c**, sagittal MRI), with no T2 high intensity at corresponding spinal cord on MRI (**d**, axial MRI). Postoperative CT and MRI demonstrate good decompression (**e-h**). One week after surgery, wound infection was found. Bacteria culture result was methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus*. Treatment methods were intravenous vancomycin and local wound care. The patient then made a full recovery without further infection symptoms

Table 4 Clinical outcome

Items	MP (n = 47)	Non-MP (n = 54)	P-Value
mJOA			
Preop	4.36 ± 1.71	4.02 ± 1.84	0.626
Postop Immediately	6.43 ± 2.50	4.96 ± 2.00	0.036
2-weeks FU	7.49 ± 1.93	6.43 ± 2.49	0.039
Final follow-up	9.02 ± 1.70	9.06 ± 1.71	0.677
mJOA recovery rate (%)			
Final FU	70.07 ± 23.39	71.15 ± 25.40	0.949
Frankel grade (A-C)			
Preop	40 (85.1%)	44 (81.5%)	0.791
Postop Immediately	20 (42.3%)	35 (64.8%)	0.029
2-weeks FU	17 (36.2%)	32 (59.3%)	0.031
Final FU	13 (27.7%)	13 (24.1%)	0.820
Duration of FU	18.00 ± 3.69	17.72 ± 3.51	0.758

Values are reported as number (percent) or mean ± standard deviation. mJOA modified Japanese orthopedics association. Preop preoperative Postop postoperative, FU follow-up
Significant differences ($P < 0.05$) are marked in bold

[28]. Similarly, our study showed that the recovery of neurological function in patients treated with intraoperative MP was accelerated within 2 weeks.

In our study, better neurological outcomes were found in MP group at short-term follow-up, but no significant differences were observed between the two groups at long-term follow-up, indicating that MP may only contribute to short-term recovery of spinal cord function. This phenomenon could be partially explained by the short half-life of MP [11, 29]. In a meta-analysis of MP in spinal cord injury, the authors enrolled two RCTs and concluded that MP was associated with a significant motor score improvement at short-term follow-up but not at long-term follow-up [7]. However, for observational studies subgroup analysis, no positive associations were found between MP and motor score improvement at short-term or long-term follow-up [7]. In addition, for postoperative neurologic worsening in our study, no statistical difference was found between the two groups, making this short-term effect of neurological recovery of MP more limited.

Table 5 Postoperative complications

Items	MP (n = 47)	Non-MP (n = 54)	P-Value
SSI	10 (21.2%)	3 (5.6%)	0.034
DVT	3 (6.4%)	3 (5.6%)	1.000
Pneumonia	4 (8.5%)	2 (3.7%)	0.413
Neurologic worsening	2 (4.3%)	3 (3.7%)	0.672
CSF leak	10(21.2%)	14(25.9)	0.644

Values are reported as number (percent). SSI surgical site infection, DVT deep vein thrombosis. CSF cerebrospinal fluid
Significant differences ($P < 0.05$) are marked in bold

Nevertheless, this study had several limitations. First, owing to the retrospective nature of this study, all items were retrospectively collected and analyzed; thus, the analysis was weak. Second, the small sample size could have generated selection bias. Despite these limitations, however, our study indicated that intraoperative MP administration accelerated the recovery of spinal cord function within 2 weeks after posterior approach surgery for OLF, but also increased postoperative infection rate.

Conclusion

In posterior approach surgery for OLF, intraoperative MP administration showed better recovery of neurological function within 2 weeks after surgery, but had no significant difference on the long-term outcome compared with those no MP received. Moreover, intraoperative MP treatment obviously increased SSI rate.

Abbreviations

BMI: Body mass index; CSF: Cerebrospinal fluid; CT: Computed tomography; DVT: Deep vein thrombosis; FU: Follow-up; intra-op: Intraoperative; mJOA: Modified Japanese orthopedics association; MP: Methylprednisolone; MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging; no. of: Number of; OLF: Ossification of the ligamentum flavum; preop: Preoperative; postop: postoperative; SSI: Surgical site infection

Acknowledgements

We express heartfelt gratitude to all those including the colleagues in our institution, professional peers and supervisors, who provided significant help to our research.

Authors' contributions

XH, JZ and SL gathered and analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. XG and YX revised the manuscript. YX planned and supervised the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was supported by funds from National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 81871124).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets analyzed during the current study are available as a supporting file or from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Ethical Committee of Tianjin Medical University General Hospital approved the protocol for this investigation and all investigations were conducted in conformity with ethical principles of research. The Ethical NO. is IRB2019-WZ-119.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 23 December 2019 Accepted: 13 March 2020
Published online: 19 March 2020

References

- Li F, Chen Q, Xu K. Surgical treatment of 40 patients with thoracic ossification of the ligamentum flavum. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2006;4(3):191–7.
- Nakanishi K, Tanaka N, Nishikawa K, Fujimoto Y, Ochi M. Positive effect of posterior instrumentation after surgical posterior decompression for extensive cervicothoracic ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2005;30(13):E382–6.

3. Zhang HQ, Chen LQ, Liu SH, Zhao D, Guo CF. Posterior decompression with kyphosis correction for thoracic myelopathy due to ossification of the ligamentum flavum and ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament at the same level. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2010;13(1):116–22.
4. van Oostenbrugge RJ, Herpers MJ, de Kruijk JR. Spinal cord compression caused by unusual location and extension of ossified ligamenta flava in a Caucasian male. A case report and literature review. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1999;24(5):486–8.
5. Lazorthes G, Gouaze A, Zadeh JO, Santini JJ, Lazorthes Y, Burdin P. Arterial vascularization of the spinal cord. Recent studies of the anastomotic substitution pathways. *J Neurosurg*. 1971;35(3):253–62.
6. Jaspas T, Holland IM, Punt JA. Thoracic spinal canal stenosis. *Neuroradiology*. 1987;29(2):217.
7. Evaniew N, Belley-Côté EP, Fallah N, Noonan VK, Rivers CS, Dvorak MF. Methylprednisolone for the treatment of patients with acute spinal cord injuries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Neurotrauma*. 2016;33(5):468–81.
8. Bowes AL, Yip PK. Modulating inflammatory cell responses to spinal cord injury: all in good time. *J Neurotrauma*. 2014;31(21):1753–66.
9. Martin-Vaquero P, da Costa RC, Allen MJ, Moore SA, Keirsey JK, Green KB. Proteomic analysis of cerebrospinal fluid in canine cervical spondylomyelopathy. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2015;40(9):601–12.
10. Aldrighetti L, Pulitano C, Arru M, Finazzi R, Catena M, Soldini L, et al. Impact of preoperative steroids administration on ischemia-reperfusion injury and systemic responses in liver surgery: a prospective randomized study. *Liver Transpl*. 2006;12(6):941–9.
11. Vidal PM, Ulndreaj A, Badner A, Hong J, Fehlings MG. Methylprednisolone treatment enhances early recovery following surgical decompression for degenerative cervical myelopathy without compromise to the systemic immune system. *J Neuroinflammation*. 2018;15(1):222.
12. Blume C, Wiederhold H, Geiger M, Clusmann H, Muller CA. Lacking benefit of intraoperative high-dose dexamethasone in instrumented surgery for cervical spondylotic myelopathy. *J Neurol Surg A Cent Eur Neurosurg*. 2018;79(2):116–22.
13. Bednar DA, Wong A, Farrokhfar F, Paul J. Dexamethasone perioperative Coanalgesia in lumbar spine fusion: a controlled cohort study of efficacy and safety. *J Spinal Disord Tech*. 2015;28(7):E422–6.
14. Baba S, Oshima Y, Iwahori T, Takano Y, Inanami H, Koga H. Microendoscopic posterior decompression for the treatment of thoracic myelopathy caused by ossification of the ligamentum flavum: a technical report. *Eur Spine J*. 2016;25(6):1912–9.
15. Isaacs RE, Podichetty VK, Santiago P, Sandhu FA, Spears J, Kelly K, et al. Minimally invasive microendoscopy-assisted transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion with instrumentation. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2005;3(2):98–105.
16. Yamazaki M, Okawa A, Fujiyoshi T, Furuya T, Koda M. Posterior decompression with instrumented fusion for thoracic myelopathy caused by ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament. *Eur Spine J*. 2010;19(5):691–8.
17. Sun X, Sun C, Liu X, Liu Z, Qi Q, Guo Z, et al. The frequency and treatment of dural tears and cerebrospinal fluid leakage in 266 patients with thoracic myelopathy caused by ossification of the ligamentum flavum. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2012;37(12):E702–7.
18. Biscevic M, Biscevic S, Ljuca F, Smrke BU, Krupic F, Habul C. Postoperative infections after posterior spondylodesis of thoracic and lumbar spine. *Surgical spine infections*. *Psychiatr Danub*. 2014;26(Suppl 2):382–6.
19. Olsen MA, Mayfield J, Laurysen C, Polish LB, Jones M, Vest J, et al. Risk factors for surgical site infection in spinal surgery. *J Neurosurg*. 2003;98(2 Suppl):149–55.
20. Perencevich EN, Sands KE, Cosgrove SE, Guadagnoli E, Meara E, Platt R. Health and economic impact of surgical site infections diagnosed after hospital discharge. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2003;9(2):196–203.
21. Lee DY, Shim CS, Ahn Y, Choi YG, Kim HJ, Lee SH. Comparison of percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy and open lumbar microdiscectomy for recurrent disc herniation. *J Korean Neurosurg Soc*. 2009;46(6):515–21.
22. Abram SE. Treatment of lumbosacral radiculopathy with epidural steroids. *Anesthesiology*. 1999;91(6):1937–41.
23. Vyvey M. Steroids as pain relief adjuvants. *Can Fam Physician*. 2010;56(12):1295–7 e415.
24. Demura S, Takahashi K, Murakami H, Fujimaki Y, Kato S, Tsuchiya H. The influence of steroid administration on systemic response in laminoplasty for cervical myelopathy. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. 2013;133(8):1041–5.
25. McLain RF, Kapural L, Mekhail NA. Epidural steroid therapy for back and leg pain: mechanisms of action and efficacy. *Spine J*. 2005;5(2):191–201.
26. Lundin A, Magnuson A, Axelsson K, Kogler H, Samuelsson L. The effect of perioperative corticosteroids on the outcome of microscopic lumbar disc surgery. *Eur Spine J*. 2003;12(6):625–30.
27. Song KJ, Lee SK, Ko JH, Yoo MJ, Kim DY, Lee KB. The clinical efficacy of short-term steroid treatment in multilevel anterior cervical arthrodesis. *Spine J*. 2014;14(12):2954–8.
28. Aljabi Y, El-Shawarby A, Cawley DT, Aherne T. Effect of epidural methylprednisolone on post-operative pain and length of hospital stay in patients undergoing lumbar microdiscectomy. *Surgeon*. 2015;13(5):245–9.
29. Uhl A, Czock D, Boehm BO, Zellner D, Mertz A, Keller F. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of methylprednisolone after one bolus dose compared with two dose fractions. *J Clin Pharm Ther*. 2002;27(4):281–7.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more [biomedcentral.com/submissions](https://www.biomedcentral.com/submissions)

