#### **STUDY PROTOCOL**

**Open Access** 



# Does walking after lumbar spinal surgery predict recovery of function at six months? Protocol for a prospective cohort study

Sarah Gilmore<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Jodie A. McClelland<sup>2</sup> and Megan Davidson<sup>2</sup>

#### **Abstract**

**Background:** Physiotherapists are commonly involved in the management of patients immediately following lumbar spinal surgery. There is however, very little research to guide physiotherapy intervention in the acute post-operative period, and the advice provided to patients regarding post-operative walking and physical activity has been shown to be highly variable.

The primary aim of this research is to establish whether the amount of walking patients perform in the week following lumbar spinal surgery predicts improvement in function at 6 months.

**Methods:** This study will be a prospective cohort study design, with a projected sample size of 250 participants. Patients undergoing surgery for the management of a disc prolapse, degenerative disc disease, lumbar spinal stenosis and/or degenerative spondylolysthesis will be invited to participate in this study.

Outcome measurement will take place pre-operatively and at six months post-operatively. The primary outcome variable will be self-reported function, measured using the Modified Oswestry Disability Questionnaire and the physical component summary of the SF-36.

Each participant will be fitted with an activPAL3 accelerometer to be worn for the first seven post-operative days. This accelerometer will record time spent in active versus sedentary postures, step count and time spent walking. Multivariable logistic regression analysis will be used to investigate the relationship between the total time spent walking over the first seven post-operative days, and outcome at six months.

**Discussion:** The results from this research will help to guide patient management during the inpatient phase, by identifying patients who are at risk of poorer outcome due to limited walking time. These patients may benefit from ongoing rehabilitation and outpatient physiotherapy services. This information will also provide a foundation for further research into interventions designed to optimise post-operative activity.

Trial registration: ACTRN12616000747426, retrospectively registered 7th June 2016.

Keywords: Physical activity, Physiotherapy, Rehabilitation, Lumbar fusion, Discectomy, Laminectomy

#### **Background**

Low back pain is the leading cause of disability worldwide, with both the rate of low back pain and the associated burden of disability expected to increase as the global population ages [1]. Clinical guidelines consistently recommend conservative management of low back pain, however surgical intervention may be indicated where conservative management is not successful [2]. While there is a growing

body of evidence to support surgical management of low back conditions [3–5], up to 40 % of patients continue to report no or minimal improvement in post-operative function [6]. This figure highlights the need for evidence based, effective rehabilitation programs designed to optimise post-operative recovery.

Physiotherapy is a common component of the management of patients undergoing lumbar spinal surgery at the majority of hospitals in Australia and the UK [7–9]. The goals of physiotherapy intervention during the inpatient admission consistently focus on achieving independence

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>La Trobe University, Melbourne, Australia



<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: sarah.gilmore@svha.org.au

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>St Vincent's Private Hospital, Melbourne, Australia

with mobility and functional tasks, and providing advice and education about post-operative walking and physical activity [7–9]. The specific interventions required to achieve these goals however, are poorly understood. There is very little research to guide physiotherapy intervention in the acute post-operative period [10, 11], with considerable variability in the advice provided to patients regarding post-operative walking and general activity [7–9, 12].

There are a growing number of studies aimed at identifying factors that predict outcome following lumbar spinal surgery. These include patient demographics (age [13], smoking status [14–16]), co-morbidities (diabetes [14, 16, 17], obesity [14, 18]), impairments (pain and sensory changes [14]), and psycho-social factors (depression [19, 20], fear avoidance [21]). These factors play an important role in selecting patients appropriate for surgical intervention. There is however, limited scope to modify any of these variables particularly in the acute post-operative phase, to influence patient rehabilitation or functional recovery.

Clinical guidelines for the management of low back pain consistently recommend staying active [2] with evidence suggesting that maintaining an active lifestyle leads to reduced pain and improved functional outcome [22]. It is not known whether this positive association can be translated into the post-surgical population, or more specifically, whether people who participate in more physical activity have better outcomes following surgery. In other post-surgical populations, evidence suggests that early and increased walking improves functional outcome and reduces the rate of post-operative complications [23]. It is therefore likely that increased walking immediately following lumbar spinal surgery leads to similarly improved outcomes.

Accelerometers are becoming an increasing popular method of quantifying physical activity, and have been shown to provide an accurate measure of step count, time spent walking and distance walked. [24–26] Two recent studies have used accelerometry to describe the improvement in physical activity following lumbar spinal surgery. Mobbs et al. [27] reported a significant improvement in step count and distance walked three months after lumbar spinal surgery, and Schulte et al. [28] reported a significant improvement in step count three months after surgery for lumbar stenosis. There are however, no known studies describing walking immediately following spinal surgery, or how the amount of walking in this period impacts longer-term functional recovery.

We know that gait assessment, and advice regarding walking and return to physical activity already forms a key component of physiotherapy intervention immediately following lumbar surgery. Establishing how much walking patients currently do, and how walking in the period immediately following surgery impacts longer term functional outcome will enable physiotherapists to individualise patient management to achieve optimal outcomes. It will also help to identify patients who are unable to achieve the minimum amount of walking associated with improved outcomes, and who may therefore require more intensive rehabilitation programmes.

#### Method

#### Aims

This research will investigate the relationship between the time spent walking in the week following lumbar surgery, and recovery of physical function. The primary aim is to establish whether the amount of walking patients do in the week following lumbar spinal surgery predicts improvement in function at six months. The data collected will also be used to generate an activity profile to describe the overall patterns of activity in the first post-operative week, and to identify factors that may influence the amount of activity patients undertake.

The research questions are:

- 1. Does the amount of time spent walking during the week after lumbar spinal surgery predict improvement in function at six months?
- 2. What proportion of time do patients spend performing active tasks (standing and walking) compared to sedentary behaviour (sitting and lying) in the week following lumbar spinal surgery?
- 3. Is the amount of time spent walking in the first post-operative week influenced by restricted pre or post operative physical function, post-operative pain or complications, or the need for supervision while walking?

#### Design

This study will be a prospective cohort study design. Ethics approval from the St Vincent's Hospital Melbourne Human Research Ethics Committee's has been obtained (Reference: LRR 098/15).

#### **Participants**

Participants will be recruited from St Vincent's Private Hospital, Fitzroy (SVPHF) over a six-month period. All patients aged 18 years and older undergoing surgery for the management of a disc prolapse, degenerative disc disease, lumbar spinal stenosis and/or degenerative spondylolysthesis will be invited to participate. There will be no restriction on the nature or duration of pre-operative symptoms. Patients will be excluded if they are undergoing surgery for the management of lumbar fractures or tumours, have a history of dementia or cognitive impairment or an inability to provide informed consent, or have a history of a neurological or musculoskeletal condition

resulting in progressive impairment of physical function (for example, multiple sclerosis or rheumatoid arthritis). In cases where surgery includes both lumbar and thoracic vertebrae, patients will be eligible if 50 % or more of the vertebra involved are in the lumbar region.

#### Study procedure

#### Recruitment and sample size

The recommended sample size for logistic regression analysis is a minimum of 5 outcome events per predictor variable (EPV) [29]. The maximum number of variables to be analysed in this study is 15 giving a minimum sample size of 150. Based on expected admissions to the treating institution over the recruitment period, it is expected that this will provide an estimated recruitment pool of 300 eligible participants and sample size of approximately 250 participants. This proposed sample size allows for variation in EVP, missing data and participants lost to follow-up.

Patients undergoing lumbar spinal surgery will be identified from the surgical lists. All eligible patients will be provided with an information pack containing a participant information statement, consent form, withdrawal of consent form and baseline outcome measures. The research physiotherapist will contact potential participants during the week prior to surgery to confirm eligibility and respond to any questions. Written consent will be obtained from all participants. Consent forms and baseline outcome measures will be collected from participants following admission to hospital. Demographic data and information about the surgical procedure will be collected from the participant and patient records during admission.

Following surgery, all participants will be fitted with an activPAL3 accelerometer (PAL technologies) to be worn for the first seven post-operative days, commencing the morning after the day of surgery. As per the manufacturer instructions, the accelerometer will be fixed to the upper thigh using a waterproof transparent Tegaderm dressing. This can then remain in place for the seven-day monitoring period, with a daily review to check for discomfort or irritation. This method of fixing the accelerometer to the thigh eliminates the need for participants to remove and apply the monitoring device for showering and sleeping, it does not require participants to wear a waist band or belt that would sit across the site of the lumbar surgery.

Where participants are discharged during the seven-day monitoring period they will be provided with information regarding the use and removal of the accelerometer, and a reply paid envelope to return the accelerometer to the researcher.

#### Six-month follow-up

At 6 months following surgery participants will be sent follow-up outcome measures, to be returned to the researchers by mail.

All participants will receive routine inpatient physiotherapy management. As part of routine management participants are encouraged to walk at least 3 times daily over a comfortable distance, increasing both the frequency and distance as able. An exercise program is also commenced within the first 3 days following surgery, and includes activation of deep core muscles in a supine position, exercises to gently increase range of motion including lumbar rotation and neural slides, and lower limb strengthening. A single researcher will conduct all participant communication, measurement, data collection and application of accelerometers.

#### Outcome measures

An outcome assessment timeline and summary of assessment tools used is provided in Table 1.

#### Dependent variable

Physical function:

Patient satisfaction:

Post-operative complications:

The primary dependent variable will be self-reported function. This will be measured using a Modified Oswestry Disability Questionnaire (ODQ) [30] and the physical component summary (PCS) of the SF-36 (version 2) [31, 32]. Back and leg pain intensity using the numeric pain rating scale (0–10) (NPRS) [33] will be recorded as

Table 1 Timeline of outcome assessment

Pre-operative (baseline)			
Baseline demographics:	Age, sex, smoking status, height, weight, diabetic history		
History of back/leg symptoms:	Duration of symptoms, neurological deficit		
Physical function:	ODQ, SF-36		
Pain:	Back and leg pain (NRPS)		
Physical activity:	IPAQ-SF		
Psychological status:	Depression (PHQ-9), anxiety (GAD-7)		
Post-operative (days 1–7):			
Surgical procedure:	Decompression, discectomy, fusion; single or multi-level		
Accelerometry:	Time spent walking, step count, time spent in sedentary and active postures, number of walks		
Pain:	Back, leg and wound pain (NRPS)		
Supervised walking:	Patient/physiotherapist reported		
Post-operative complications:	Complications requiring medical intervention and/or limit walking time		
Post-operative (6 months):			

ODQ, SF-36

intervention

5 point likert scale

Complications requiring medical

secondary dependent variables. The ODQ, SF-36 and the NPRS are all validated and recommended for use within the back pain population [34], and are widely used in spinal surgery research. The modified ODQ substitutes kilometres for miles to estimate walking distance, and has been validated in the Australian population [30]. The relationship between post-operative walking and each of the four dependent variables will be analysed individually.

#### Independent (predictor) variable

The predictor variable to be used in data analysis will be the total time spent walking (recorded as total time performing stepping activity) over the first seven post-operative days. This data will be recorded using an activPAL3 accelerometer and downloaded using software provided by PALtechnologies. The activPAL3 accelerometers provide a user-friendly measure of posture and walking with robust evidence for validity and sufficient reproducibility for this study [24–26]. As the activPAL3 accelerometer is worn on the thigh, the accuracy of the monitor is not reliant on arm swing and is not expected to vary if participants are using a gait aid.

#### Independent (confounding) variables

Data will be collected for variables where there is either evidence to suggest they influence outcome following lumbar spinal surgery, or there is or strong theoretical rational for their inclusion. This will include age, gender, current smoking status [14, 15], obesity [14, 18], diabetes [14, 16, 17], depression [19, 20], duration of pain/symptoms [35], neurological deficit [14], anxiety, pre-operative activity, pre-operative mobility, surgical procedure, multi-level surgery, and pre-operative function.

Depression will be assessed using the Patient Health Questionnaire Depression Scale (PHQ-9), using a cut off score of ≥10 [36]. The PHQ-9 is a short, valid and reliable measure of depression, and has been recommended for use within the chronic back pain population [37]. Anxiety will be scored using the Generalised Anxiety Disorder 7-item Scale (GAD-7), using a cut off score of ≥10 [38]. The GAD-7 is a brief, seven question scale used to screen for, and assess the severity of anxiety. It has been validated in the general population [38]. Neurological deficit will be assessed by asking the participant if he/she is aware of any changes to the sensation or strength in their affected lower limb. This level of information is deemed appropriate for this study, as any sensory or motor deficit that the participant is unaware of is unlikely to have an impact on functional ability. Pre-operative activity will be assessed using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire Short Form (IPAQ-SF) [39, 40]. The IPAQ-SF is a brief, reliable seven item questionnaire that requires participants to report on physical activity undertaken over the previous seven day period [39, 40]. Physical activity is categorised into low, moderate and high activity levels. As it is expected that the majority of participants will report either low or moderate activity levels, the two categories of low and moderate/high activity levels will be used for data analysis. Baseline ODQ score will be used as a measure of preoperative function. Pre-operative mobility will be categorised based on the response to Section 4 (Walking) on the ODQ. Participants will be categorised as having limited mobility if they score 3 or more - unable to walk more than 500 m, require a walking aid, or are confined to bed.

#### Post-operative activity profile

A seven day activity profile summarising the amount of time spent in sedentary and active postures, time spent walking, a step count, and the number of walks will be generated using the data downloaded from the activPAL3 accelerometers.

## Factors associated with time spent walking immediately following surgery

Additional data will be collected on a daily basis regarding post-operative back, leg and wound pain intensity (NRPS), the need for supervision while walking, and surgical/medical complications. Reported complications will include events where the participant requires medical intervention in addition to routine post-operative care, and events that limit activity (for example, symptomatic hypotension, analgesia-induced nausea). This information will be sourced from the patient, ward staff, and patient notes. In cases where participants are discharged from the inpatient facility prior to completing the monitoring period they will be asked to record this information daily, which will then be returned to the researchers with the accelerometer following the completion of the monitoring period.

At six months, participants will be asked to report on overall satisfaction with the results of the surgery, scored on a five point likert scale. They will also be asked to describe any post-operative complications experienced after the seven day monitoring period. This will include any event directly related to the surgical procedure requiring medical intervention in addition to routine follow-up care.

#### Data analysis

Baseline demographics of the study population will be analysed using descriptive statistics.

#### Relationship between time spent walking and outcome

Multivariable logistic regression analysis will be used to investigate the relationship between the time spent walking in the first week after surgery and outcome at six months using SPSS software. The dependent variable in the logistic

Table 2 MCID and SCB thresholds

Outcome measurement tool	MCID (points change)	SCB (points change)
ODQ	10	18.8
SF-36 (PCS)	4.9	6.2
NPRS (back)	2	2.5
NRPS (leg)	2	2.5

regression model will be the change in score on the ODQ, SF-36 (PCS), NRPS (back) and NRPS (leg) between baseline and six months. The change in scores on the ODQ, SF-36 (PCS) and NRPS will be dichotomised based on the threshold required to demonstrate a substantial clinical benefit.

Thresholds required to meet the minimum clinically important difference (MCID) have been identified for the ODQ, the SF-36 (PCS) and the NPRS [41] (Table 2). In addition, thresholds required to obtain a substantial clinical benefit (SCB), where patients report a major improvement in symptoms, have been defined for the ODQ, SF-36 (PCS) and NRPS in the lumbar fusion population [42] (Table 2). For the purpose of this study it has been assumed that SCB following lumbar fusion surgery provides a fair estimate of substantial clinical benefit following lumbar surgery in general. These thresholds allow the change in scores to be categorised as minimal improvement (below MCID threshold), moderate improvement (above MCID threshold, below SCB threshold) and substantial improvement (SCB threshold or greater).

As all participants in this study are undergoing surgery with the aim of improving pain and physical function, it expected that the majority of participants in this study will report an improvement in scores above the MCID

threshold. Therefore the SCB threshold will be used to analyse the impact of walking time on outcome (minimal/moderate improvement compared to substantial improvement). For each of the four dependent variables a multivariable logistic regression model will be generated comparing participant outcome relative to the SCB threshold.

With the exception of pre-operative function and surgical procedure, all confounding variables will be dichotomised (Table 3).

Bivariate analyses will be carried out to assess the association between each confounding variable and each outcome measure. The variables that have an independent association with outcome will be included in the final regression model. Analysis of correlation between the independent variables will be carried out to assess for multicollinearity. Where variables are highly correlated, either the more relevant variable or the variable with the more complete data set will be retained in the final regression model [43].

Missing independent variable data will be managed based on the quantity of missing data per participant and per variable. In the case of missing or incomplete accelerometry data, participants will be removed from analysis where three or more days of recordings are missing. In the case of one to two days of missing data, the time spent walking each day will be estimated based on the mean daily walking time of the total sample population. Comparisons will be made between the characteristics of participants with and without missing data to ensure minimisation of bias [43]. The underlying assumptions of the model will be assessed using the SPSS software "goodness of fit" testing.

Table 3 List of independent variables and classification for statistical analysis

	Classification				
1. Post-operative activity	Time spent walking (minut	es)			
2. Age	1. < 65 years	2. ≥65 years			
3. Gender	1. Male	2. Female			
4. Current smoking status	1. Non-smoker	2. Smoker			
5. Obesity	1. BMI < 30	2. BMI ≥ 30			
6. Diabetes	1. Not diabetic	2. Diabetic			
7. Depression	1. PHQ-9 < 10	2. PHQ-9≥10			
8. Anxiety	1. GAD-7 < 10	2. GAD-7≥10			
9. Duration of pain/symptoms	1. < median (months)	2. ≥ median (months)			
10. Neurological deficit	1. No deficit	2. Neurological deficit			
11. Pre-operative activity	1. IPAQ-SF - Mod/high	2. IPAQ-SF - low			
12. Pre-operative mobility	1. ODQ (section 4) < 3	2. ODQ (section 4) $\geq$ 3			
13. Surgical procedure	1. Decompression	2. Disc surgery	3. Fusion		
14. Multi-level surgery	1. Single level surgery	2. Multi-level surgery			
15. Preoperative function (ODQ%)	1. 0-20	2. 21–40	3. 41-60	4. 61–80	5. 81–100

Bivariate analysis will be used to examine the association between post-operative walking time, and both patient satisfaction and post-operative complications as reported at six months.

#### Post-operative activity profile

Descriptive statistics will be used to analyse post-operative activity.

#### Factors associated with post-operative walking time

Bivariate analysis will be used to examine the association between the total time spent walking, and pre-operative mobility, function and physical activity, post-operative back, leg and wound pain, post-operative complications and the need for supervision while walking.

#### Discussion

The findings from this research will assist physiotherapists to identify patients who are expected to have either very good or poor post-operative outcomes based on their activity levels immediately following surgery, and inform physiotherapists about whether increasing activity should be a focus of post-operative rehabilitation. This will help to guide patient management during the inpatient phase, by identifying patients who are at risk of poorer outcome due to limited walking time. These patients may benefit from ongoing rehabilitation and outpatient physiotherapy services. This information will also provide a foundation for further research into interventions designed to optimise post-operative activity such as patient education resources, improved monitoring and management of post-operative pain, or the provision of additional physiotherapy sessions for patients who require supervision while walking.

#### Abbreviations

EVP: Events per predictor variable; GAD-7: Generalised Anxiety Disorder 7-item Scale; IPAQ-SF: International Physical Activity Questionnaire Short Form; MCID: Minimum clinically important difference In addition; NPRS: Numeric pain rating scale; ODQ: Oswestry Disability Questionnaire; PHQ-9: Patient Health Questionnaire Depression Scale; SCB: Substantial clinical benefit; SF-36 (PCS): SF-36 physical component summary; SVPHF: St Vincent's Private Hospital, Fitzroy

#### Acknowledgements

Nil.

#### **Funding**

Funding was received from the Pegaesus Neurosurgery Fund. The Pegaesus Neurosurgery Fund is an independent fund, with no influence on the design of the study, the collection, analysis and interpretation of data, or the preparation of the manuscript.

#### Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

#### Authors' contributions

All listed authors (SG, JM, MD) have made substantial contributions to the study design, and the drafting and revision of the manuscript. All authors have given final approval for publication.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethics approval has been obtained from the St Vincent's Hospital (Melbourne) Human Research Ethics Committee (LRR 098/15) and the La Trobe University Ethics Committee. Written consent will be obtained from all participants.

### Received: 8 June 2016 Accepted: 13 October 2016 Published online: 14 November 2016

#### References

- Hoy D, March L, Brooks P, Blyth F, Woolf A, Bain C, Williams G, Smith E, Vos T, Barendregt J, Murray C, Burstein R, Buchbinder R. The global burden of low back pain: estimates from the Global Burden of Disease 2010 study. Ann Rheum Dis. 2014;73:968–74.
- Koes BW, van Tulder M, Lin CC, Macedo LG, McAuley J, Maher C. An updated overview of clinical guidelines for the management of non-specific low back pain in primary care. Eur Spine J. 2010;19:2075–94.
- Jacobs WCH, van Tulder M, Arts M, Rubinstein SM, van Middelkoop M, Ostelo R, Verhagen A, Koes B, Peul WC. Surgery versus conservative management of sciatica due to a lumbar herniated disc: a systematic review. Eur Spine J. 2011;20:513–22.
- Phillips FM, Slosar PJ, Youssef JA, Andersson G, Papatheofanis F. Lumbar spine fusion for chronic low back pain due to degenerative disc disease: a systematic review. Spine. 2013;38:E409–22.
- Kovacs FM, Urrútia G, Alarcón JD. Surgery versus conservative treatment for symptomatic lumbar spinal stenosis: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Spine. 2011;36:E1335–51.
- Weinstein JN, Tosteson TD, Lurie JD, Tosteson A, Blood E, Herkowitz H, Cammisa F, Albert T, Boden SD, Hilibrand A, Goldberg H, Berven S, An H. Surgical versus non-operative treatment for lumbar spinal stenosis four year results of the Spine Patient Outcomes Research Trial (SPORT). Spine. 2010; 35:1329–38.
- Gilmore SJ, McClelland JA, Davidson M. Physiotherapy management of patients undergoing lumbar spinal surgery for degenerative conditions: a survey of Australian physiotherapists. NZJ Physiother. 2016;44:105–12.
- Rushton A, Heneghan N, Heap A, White L, Eveleigh G, Wright C. Survey of current physiotherapy practice for patients undergoing lumbar spinal fusion in the UK. Spine. 2014;39:E1380–7.
- Williamson E, White L, Rushton A. A survey of post-operative management for patients following first time lumbar discectomy. Eur Spine J. 2007;16: 795–802.
- Gilmore SJ, McClelland JA, Davidson M. Physiotherapeutic interventions before and after surgery for degenerative lumbar conditions: a systematic review. Physiotherapy. 2015;101:111–8.
- Oosterhuis T, Costa LO, Maher CG, de Vet HC, van Tulder MW, Ostelo RW. Rehabilitation after lumbar disc surgery. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014; doi:10.1002/14651858.CD003007.pub3.
- McGregor A, Dicken B, Jamrozik K. National audit of post-operative management in spinal surgery. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2006;7:47.
- Glassman SD, Polly DW, Bono CM, Burkus K, Dimar JR. Outcome of lumbar arthrodesis in patients sixty-five years of age or older. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2009;91:783–90.
- Pearson A, Lurie J, Tosteson T, Zhao W, Abdu W, Weinstein J. Who should have surgery for spinal stenosis?: Treatment effect predictors in SPORT. Spine. 2012;37:1791–802.
- Sandén B, Försth P, Michaëlsson K. Smokers show less improvement than nonsmokers two years after surgery for lumbar spinal stenosis: a study of 4555 patients from the Swedish spine register. Spine. 2011;36:1059–64.
- Appaduray SP, Lo P. Effects of diabetes and smoking on lumbar spinal surgery outcomes. J Clin Neurosci. 2013;20:1713–7.
- Guzman JZ, latridis JC, Skovrlj B, Cutler H, Hecht AC, Qureshi SA, Cho SK.
  Outcomes and complications of diabetes mellitus on patients undergoing
  degenerative lumbar spine surgery. Spine. 2014;39:1596–604.
- Jiang J, Teng Y, Fan Z, Khan S, Xia Y. Does obesity affect the surgical outcome and complication rates of spinal surgery? A meta-analysis. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2014;472:968–75.

- Miller JA, Derakhshan A, Lubelski D, Alvin MD, McGirt MJ, Benzel EC, Mroz TE. The impact of preoperative depression on quality of life outcomes after lumbar surgery. Spine J. 2015;15:58–64.
- Sinikallio SA, Timo A, Olavi L, Soili M, Kröger H, Viinamäki H. Depression is associated with a poorer outcome of lumbar spinal stenosis surgery: a twoyear prospective follow-up study. Spine. 2011;36:677–82.
- Archer KR, Seebach CL, Mathis SL, Riley Iii LH, Wegener ST. Early postoperative fear of movement predicts pain, disability, and physical health six months after spinal surgery for degenerative conditions. Spine J. 2014;14(5):759–67.
- Dahm KT, Brurberg KG, Jamtvedt G, Hagen KB. Advice to rest in bed versus advice to stay active for acute low-back pain and sciatica. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010; doi:10.1002/14651858.CD007612.pub2.
- Kalisch BJ, Lee S, Dabney BW. Outcomes of inpatient mobilization: a literature review. J Clin Nurs. 2013;23:1486–501.
- Berendsen B, Hendriks M, Meijer K, Plasqui G, Schaper N, Savelberg H. Which activity monitor to use? Validity, reproducibility and user friendliness of three activity monitors. BMC Public Health. 2014;14:749.
- Dahlgren G, Carlsson D, Moorhead A, Hager-Ross C, McDonough SM. Testretest reliability of step counts with the ActivPAL device in common daily activities. Gait Posture. 2010;32:386–90.
- Grant PM, Ryan CG, Tigbe WW, Granat MH. The validation of a novel activity monitor in the measurement of posture and motion during everyday activities. Br J Sports Med. 2006;40:992–7.
- Mobbs RJ, Phan K, Maharaj M, Rao PJ. Physical activity measured with accelerometer and self-rated disability in lumbar spine surgery: a prospective study. Glob Spine J. 2016;6:459–64.
- Schulte TL, Schubert T, Winter C, Brandes M, Hackenburg L, Wassmann H, Liem D, Rosenbaum D, Bullmann V. Step activity monitoring in lumbar stenosis patients undergoing decompressive surgery. Eur Spine J. 2010;19: 1855–64.
- Vittinghoff E, McCulloch CE. Relaxing the rule of ten events per variable in logistic and cox regression. Am J Epidemiol. 2007;165:710–8.
- Davidson M, Keating JL. A comparison of five low back disability questionnaires: reliability and responsiveness. Phys Ther. 2002;82:8–24
- 31. Ware JE, Kosinski MA, Bjorner JB, Turner-Bowker DM, Gandeck B, Maruish ME. User's manual for the SF-36 Health Survey (Version 2). 2nd ed. 2007.
- Ware JE, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36): I. Conceptual framework and item selection. Med Care. 1992;30:473–83.
- 33. Jensen MP, Turner JA, Romano JM. What is the maximum number of levels needed in pain intensity measurement? Pain. 1994;58:387–92.
- Chapman JR, Norvell DC, Hermsmeyer JT, Bransford RJ, DeVine J, McGirt MJ, Lee MJ. Evaluating common outcomes for measuring treatment success for chronic low back pain. Spine. 2011;36:554–68.
- Rihn JA, Hilibrand AS, Radcliff K, Kurd M, Lurie J, Blood E, Albert TJ, Weinstein JN. Duration of symptoms resulting from lumbar disc herniation: effect on treatment outcomes: analysis of the Spine Patient Outcomes Research Trial (SPORT). J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2011;93:1906–14.
- Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JBW. The PHQ-9. J Gen Intern Med. 2001;16: 606–13.
- Choi Y, Mayer TG, Williams MJ, Gatchel RJ. What is the best screening test for depression in chronic spinal pain patients? Spine J. 2014;14:1175–82.
- Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB, Lowe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Arch Intern Med. 2006;166:1092–7.
- Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjostrom M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE, Pratt M, Ekelund U, Yngve A, Sallis JF, Oja P. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2003; 35:1381–95.
- Helmerhorst HJ, Brage S, Warren J, Besson H, Ekelund U. A systematic review of reliability and objective criterion-related validity of physical activity questionnaires. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2012;9:103.
- Ostelo RWJG, Deyo RA, Stratford P, Waddell G, Croft P, Von Korff M, Bouter LM, de Vet HC. Interpreting change scores for pain and functional status in low back pain: towards international consensus regarding minimal important change. Spine. 2008;33:90–4.
- Glassman SD, Copay AG, Berven SH, Polly DW, Subach BR, Carreon LY. Defining substantial clinical benefit following lumbar spine arthrodesis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2008;90:1839–47.
- Katz M. Multivariable analysis a practical guide for clinicians and public health researchers. 3rd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2011.

## Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

