# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**



**Open Access** 

# Fluorescence activated enrichment of CD146+ cells during expansion of human bone-marrow derived mesenchymal stromal cells augments proliferation and GAG/DNA content in chondrogenic media

Sebastien Hagmann<sup>1,3</sup>, Sebastian Frank<sup>1</sup>, Tobias Gotterbarm<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Dreher<sup>1</sup>, Volker Eckstein<sup>2</sup> and Babak Moradi<sup>1\*</sup>

# Abstract

**Background:** While numerous subpopulations of BM-MSCs have been identified, the relevance of these findings regarding the functional properties remains mostly unclear. With regards to attempts of enhancing differentiation results by preselecting certain MSC subtypes, we have evaluated the efficiency of CD146 purification during expansion, and evaluated whether these measures enhanced MSC differentiation results.

**Methods:** Human MSCs were derived from bone marrow of six donors and cultured in two different culture media. After P1, MSCs were purified by either magnetic or fluorescence sorting for CD146, with unsorted cells as controls. Growth characteristics and typical MSC surface markers were assessed from P0 to P3. After P3, chondrogenic, osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation potential were assessed.

**Results:** Despite a high variability of CD146 expression among the donors, fluorescence sorting significantly increased the number of CD146+ cells compared to control MSCs, while magnetic sorting led to a lesser enrichment. Osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation potential was not affected by the sorting process. However, FACS-sorted cells showed significantly increased GAG/DNA content after chondrogenic differentiation compared to control MSCs.

**Conclusion:** FACS sorting of CD146+ cells was more efficient than magnetic sorting. The underlying mechanism of increased GAG/DNA content after enrichment during expansion remains unclear, but may be linked to increased proliferation rates in these cells.

**Keywords:** Mesenchymal stromal cells, MSC surface markers, CD146, Sorting, Osteogenic differentiation, Chondrogenic differentiation

# Background

Mesenchymal stromal or stem cells (MSCs) are multipotent cells that have been isolated from various tissues, such as bone-marrow [1,2], adipose tissue [3,4], cord blood and tissue [2,5] and peripheral blood [6,7]. Their multilineage potential has led to an accelerating research in these cells in orthopaedics [8-10], cardiology [11,12], hematology [13,14] and neurology [15,16], to name only a few. Additionally, MSCs were shown to possess important immunoregulatory properties [17-19], which has become another therapeutic approach.

Although initially understood as a distinct entity, more and more studies have revealed that MSCs must be understood as a heterogeneous population with multiple different subpopulations [20-25]. In the attempt to further characterize MSCs, numerous surface markers have been identified. Some of them have been included in minimal criteria for MSCs defined by the International



© 2014 Hagmann et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: babakmoradi@gmx.de

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Orthopedic and Trauma Surgery, University Hospital Heidelberg, Schlierbacher Landstrasse 200a, 69118 Heidelberg, Germany Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Society for Cellular Therapy [26]. However, there have been numerous reports on new surface markers that are also applicable for characterizing MSCs [20,27-30]. One of these novel markers described on MSCs is CD146, or melanoma cell adhesion molecule (MCAM, MUC18). It is not only recognized as a marker for endothelial progenitor cells and perivascular stem cells [31,32], but has been shown to be a marker for MSCs as well [1,33]. CD146 expression seems to vary in MSCs derived from different tissues [34], and was reported to distinguish MSCs from fibroblasts [35]. Previous studies of our group and other groups have also detected that CD146 expression is dependent on the composition of MSC culture media and is downregulated by FGF-2 administration during expansion [36,37]. An ultimate goal that drives the research on MSC subtypes is to optimize the aspired tissues, thus making tissue engineering in any of these fields more effective. The isolation of MSC subtypes seems a promising technique in order to utilize specific MSC properties [38]. Two principal methods seem appropriate in this regard: isolation by magnetic or fluorescence labelling. Several studies have described methods to isolate the CD146+ fraction from endometrium [39] or the peridontal ligaments [40]. CD146+ MSCs have also been isolated from bone marrow by CD34 negative sorting [1].

However, up to date, it has not yet been sufficiently demonstrated whether the CD146+ MSCs exert distinct differentiation properties. Additionally, it is still unclear which isolation method (fluorescence or magnetic) is more favourable to accumulate CD146+ MSC subsets from bone marrow.

We therefore investigated the impact of a CD146+ cell purification during expansion of human bone-marrow derived MSCs. It was further evaluated if chondrogenic, osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation of the MSCs were affected. Furthermore, we employed two different expansion media, one with a simple and one with a more elaborate formula, to evaluate if the methods were suitable for different culture conditions.

# Methods

# Bone marrow donors

A total of n = 6 donors (mean age  $62.2 \pm 16.4$  years, 3 female, 3 male donors) were included in the study. Bone marrow aspiration was performed from the femur during total hip arthroplasty (for end-stage osteoarthritis) or from the iliac crest during autologous bone grafting (for enhancing healing in an osteotomy). All donors approved written informed consent prior to bone marrow donation. The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the University of Heidelberg and was conducted according to the latest version of the Helsinki Declaration.

# Isolation of human bone marrow-derived MSCs

Bone marrow was collected into syringes containing 5000 I.E. heparine (ratiopharm, Ulm, Germany) and diluted in "Ringer" isotonic saline solution (Braun, Melsungen, Germany). After washing with PBS (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany), bone marrow mononuclear cells (BM-MNCs) were collected from the interphase created by Ficoll paque plus gradient centrifugation (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden) and washed in PBS. Washing was repeated twice; afterwards the cells were resuspended in PBS and counted in triplicates in a Neubauer chamber (Brand, Wertheim, Germany) after staining with Tuerk solution (Sigma-Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany). BM-MNCs of each donor were resuspended in two different culture media (shown below) at a density of  $1.25 \times 10^5$  cells /cm<sup>2</sup> in T75 cell culture flasks (Greiner Bio One, Frickenhausen, Germany). The cells were cultured in a humidified thermostat at 37°C and 6% CO2. After 24 hours, medium replacement was performed and only adherent cells remained in cell culture. Cells were inspected by polarization microscopy daily. At 80% confluence, cells were detached with trypsine/EDTA solution (Biochrom, Berlin, Germany) after washing with PBS. Whole medium was added, and the cells were counted as described above. The cells were then washed in whole medium and resuspended at a density of  $5 \times 10^4$  cells/cm<sup>2</sup> in the respective media. These procedures were repeated until the end of passage 3.

The cells of each donor were cultured in a) Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium low glucose (DMEM-LG, Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) with 20% fetal calf serum (FCS, Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) and 1% penicilline/ streptomycine (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) and b) a variation of Embryonal Stem Cell expansion medium (ES), consisting of DMEM-high glucose (DMEM-HG, Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) 12.5% FCS, 2 mM L-glutamin, 50 mM b-mercaptoethanol, 1% nonessential amino acids 100, 1% penicilline/streptomycine (all Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany), and 4 ng/ml basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF/FGF-2, Acris, Herford, Germany). As a marker for proliferation, a growth index per day (GID) was calculated through the formula: GID = (number of cells at beginning of passage/number of cells at end of passage)/days in passage. For the sorted groups, a relative GID was then calculated by a quotient of the respective GID and the GID of untreated control cells to allow comparability among the donors.

As a positive control for CD146 positive cells, HeLa cells were cultured in medium condition a) and b) for 63 days. Fluorescence cytometry for CD146 (see below) was conducted weekly throughout this time.

# CD146 isolation of BM-MSCs

In addition to the culture conditions detailed above, two different isolation techniques for BM-MSCs during their

expansion. An initial experiment showed that the purification in both media was more effective in P1 than in P0 (data not shown), which is why the separation process was performed in P1. After detaching, counting and washing the cells with whole medium, cells were separated into three parts per medium. For the first group (control group), MSCs were resuspended as described above. The second group underwent magnetic sorting for CD146+ cells. MSCs were therefore washed with PBS and incubated with 20 µl of FcR blocking reagent human (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) per 10<sup>7</sup> cells for 5 min. Afterwards, MSCs were washed in auto MACS running buffer (Miltenvi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany), and 20 µl of CD146 microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) per  $10^7$  cells were added. After an incubation of 15 min, cells were washed and resuspended in the auto MACS buffer, and magnetic isolation was performed according to manufacturers' protocols using LS columns and a MidiMACS<sup>™</sup> separator (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany). Afterwards, MSCs were washed in MACS buffer. After this separation step, cells were analysed by flow cytometry (see below) and further cultured in the same manner as the control group.

The third group underwent fluorescence cell sorting. MSCs were therefore washed with PBS and incubated with 20  $\mu$ l of FcR blocking reagent per 10<sup>7</sup> cells for 5 min. Afterwards, MSCs were washed and resuspended in auto MACS buffer. Cells were then stained with 10  $\mu$ l/ 10<sup>6</sup> cells of a mouse anti-human CD146 PE antibody (BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany) for 30 min at 4°C in the dark. MSCs were then washed and fluorescence cell sorting was performed using a FACS Aria II sorter (BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany) and the FACSDiva software (Version 6.1.3., BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany). Following this separation step, MSCs were analysed by flow cytometry (see below) and further cultured in the same manner as the control group.

# Flow cytometry

Confirmation of purification for CD146 was performed by flow cytometry in all groups after separation. For cell saving reasons, flow cytometry was performed for the entirety of the antibodies listed below in P0, P2 and P3, and in the control MSCs after P1. MSCs were suspended in PBS with 0.5% FCS and 2 mM EDTA and labelled with the following mouse anti-human antibodies: CD14 FITC, CD34 PE, CD45 APC-Cy<sup>™</sup>7, CD90 FITC, CD73 PE, CD105 PerCP-Cy<sup>™</sup>5 and CD146 PE (all BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany). Cell viability was assessed using a 7AAD Viability Staining Solution (eBioscience, Frankfurt, Germany). Flow cytometry was conducted with a MACS Quant<sup>™</sup> analyser and the MACS Quantify 2.1 software (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany). Isotype matched antibodies were employed for background fluorescence detection. Positive fluorescence was defined as any event occurring above a gate defined by containing 99.5% of the events measured for background fluorescence in a histogram plot. The gating strategy is demonstrated in Figure 1.

# Chondrogenic differentiation

MSCs were detached from the culture flasks with trypsine/EDTA solution as described above, washed and resuspended in whole medium.  $5 \times 10^5$  cells were then centrifuged at 3000/min for 5 min for high density pellet culture and then cultured in chondrogenic medium, which consisted of 286 ml DMEM HG (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany), 5 µg/ml transferrin, 5 ng/ml sodium selenite, 1 mM Sodium pyruvate (all Sigma-Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany), 1,25 mg/ml BSA, 100 units/ml P/S (both Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany), supplemented by 0,1 µM dexamethasone, 5 µl ascorbic acid/5 ml (both Sigma-Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany), 10 ng/ml TGF-B (Acris, Herford, Germany) and 5 µg/ml insuline glargin (Sanofi Aventis, Frankfurt, Germany). Chondrogenic medium was changed three times a week, and MSCs were incubated for 42 days. N = 2-5 pellets per group were analysed, and intraindividual means were calculated. For quantitative analysis of GAG deposition, the pellets were digested with pepsin solution overnight and then stained with 1,9-dimethyl-methylene blue (dye content 80%, Sigma-Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany). Absorption was measured at 530 nm for the pellets and a chondroitin 4-sulfate standard (Sigma-Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany). DNA content in the pellets was analyzed with a Quant iT ds Pico Green DNA Assay Kit (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) according to manufacturers' protocols, and GAG/DNA content was calculated.

# Osteogenic differentiation

MSCs were harvested with trypsine/EDTA solution as described above, washed and resuspended in whole medium. 35,000 MSCs per well were seeded in 24 well plates (Nunclon Surface, Sigma Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany) containing 0.5 ml of osteogenic induction medium. Four assays per time point were conducted. Osteogenesis was induced with a medium consisting of DMEM HG, 10% FCS, 1% penicilline/streptomycine (all Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany), 0.1 mM dexamethasone, 0.17 mM ascorbic acid 2-phosphate, and 10 mM  $\beta\text{-}$ glycerophosphate (all Sigma-Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany). Osteogenesis was induced for 21 days, quantified by an alkaline phosphatase assay and alizarin red staining at d3, d7, d14 and d21. MSCs therefore were lysated in 0.5 ml 1% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany), and the lysate was incubated 1:1 with 1 mg/ml p-nitrophenylphosphate in ALP-buffer (0.1 M glycine, 1 mM MgCl2, 1 mM ZnCl2, pH 10.4). Substrate turnover



was measured in an MRX ELISA reader (Dynatech Laboratories, Stuttgart, Germany) at 405/490 nm, and results were calculated with an ALP standard. ALP levels were standardized to protein content, which was analyzed using a Micro BCA Protein Assay Kit (Pierce, Rockford, USA) according to manufacturers' instructions. Calcium deposition was quantified by 0.5% Alizarin Red S staining (Sigma-Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany) at 570 nm, calculated according to an Alizarin standard and then standardized to whole protein content as described above.

#### Adipogenic differentiation

MSCs were seeded with 35,000 cells per well in 24 well plates (Nunclon Surface, Sigma Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany) in adipogenic induction medium and cultured for 14 days (n = 2-4 assays per donor). Adipogenic differentiation medium consisted of DMEM HG (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany), 10% FCS (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany), 1 mM dexamethasone, 0.2 mM indomethacine, 0.5 mM isobutyl methylxanthine (all Sigma-Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany) 0.01 mg/ml insulin glargin (Sanofi-Aventis, Frankfurt, Germany) and 1% penicilline/streptomycine (Biochrom, Berlin, Germany).

Adipogenic differentiation was assessed by fixation with 4% paraformaldehyde and staining with 0.3% Oil Red O solution (Chroma, Münster, Germany). Evaluation of adipogenic differentiation was conducted by a qualitative microscopic assessment of lipid vacuole formation.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with the SPSS computer software (SPSS Inc., released 2009, PASW Statistics for Windows, Version 18.0. Chicago). QQ-plots, box plots, a ratio analysis and Kolmogorov-Smirnov (with Lilliefors significance correction) as well as Shapiro-Wilk tests were performed to evaluate normal distribution of the data. For parametric data, two-tailed paired t-tests were performed for comparisons between two different media conditions, while analyses of variance (ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni correction were performed for comparisons of more than two groups (population doubling per passage, passage time, ALP content and calcium deposition). Non-parametrical data was analysed by Wilcoxon tests for the comparison of two and Friedman tests for the comparison of more than two groups (surface marker expression, relative GAG/DNA content). Differences were considered statistically significant for p-values smaller 0.05. Results are shown as means ± standard deviation.

# Results

#### **Proliferation rates**

No morphological differences were observed between sorted and unsorted cells and between the two media conditions (Figure 2). No significant differences concerning proliferation were observed between the two media conditions. The mean relative growth index per day was higher in FACS-separated cells and lower in MACS-separated cells in P2, resulting in a significantly higher proliferation of FACS vs. MACS-sorted cells (Figure 2, p = 0.022). MACS-sorted cells closed up to FACS-sorted MSCs in P3 (Figure 2, comparison MACS/FACS: p = 0.388).

#### MSC surface marker expression

Representative histograms for the surface markers are shown in Figure 3. Except for CD34, which was consistently expressed on less than 1% of the MSCs in all passages and in all donors, an important variation of the other surface markers could be observed. The P0 populations showed a more heterogeneous surface marker profile than P1, P2 and P3 populations regarding CD73, CD90, CD14 and CD45 in



both media (Table 1). No differences between the groups were observed for 7-AAD positive cells; however both media and all groups showed a mild increase in 7-AAD positive cells from under 1% to 4% from P0 to P3 (data not shown). CD45 expression was markedly higher than the other negative markers (Table 1, Figure 3), with no significant differences between the media.

No significant differences regarding surface marker distribution could be detected between the two media except for CD90, which showed a higher expression in P0 in cells cultured in DMEM compared to ES medium (89.78  $\pm$  6.52% vs. 78.72.02  $\pm$  9.04%, p = 0.028).

The greatest heterogeneity of the MSC preparations was observed for CD146, which was highly donor-dependent (expression ranging from 48.69% to 89.43% in P0, Figure 4). While no significant differences between the passages could be detected, CD146 expression was higher when MSCs were cultured in DMEM compared to ES medium in P0 and P2 (Table 1, Figure 3, P0 and P2: p = 0.028).

The HeLa cell cultures showed equally high CD146 expression in both culture conditions over 63 days (means:  $99.39 \pm 0.74\%$  in condition a,  $99.04 \pm 0.98\%$  in condition b, 97; p = 0.373).

#### Magnetic vs. fluorescence separation

Representative sorting results for both methods are shown in Figure 3. Due to the important heterogeneity of CD146 expression among the donors, individual purification results were calculated for each donor. The mean overall purification effect of fluorescence sorting for CD146 in all donors was factor 1.8 ( $\pm 0.62$ ) compared to the pre-sorting results (p = 0.002). Purification ranged from factor 1.17 in a donor with high CD146 expression to factor 3.27 in the donor with the lowest CD146 expression.

MACS-sorting did only slightly enhance the number of CD146 expressing cells, with a mean overall purification of factor 1.09 ( $\pm 0.35$ ) (p = 0.646 compared to the control group).

Neither magnetic nor fluorescence cell sorting affected the distribution of any of the other surface markers, which were consistently high for the positive markers CD73, CD90 and CD105 and consistently low for the negative markers CD14 and CD34, while all groups showed a CD45 expression of under 10%, comparable to the control cells (data not shown).

#### **Differentiation results**

All groups were successfully differentiated into adipogenic, chondrogenic and osteogenic lineage. There was no apparent difference in adipogenic differentiation in both media conditions and depending on whether and which CD146+ separation was applied, as reflected by optical density analysis and qualitative assessment of lipid vacuole formation (Figure 4). All groups showed adequate ALP increase and calcium deposition over time. No significant differences regarding osteogenic differentiation were observed (Figure 4).

There was an important variation in chondrogenesis related to the donors. When compared to the control MSCs, FACS-sorted cells showed higher GAG/DNA content



(Figure 4, p = 0.023). No significant differences concerning GAG/DNA content could be observed when comparing MACS-sorted cells to the control MSCs (Figure 4, p = 0.117). Neither in MACS-, nor in FACS-sorted cells, GAG/DNA content correlated with the expression of any of the surface markers MSCs were analyzed for, including CD146 (Pearson Correlation for P3 -0.290, p = 0.096).

#### Discussion

MSCs are promising for applications in medicine both for their regenerative and immunoregulatory properties. While the use of these cells in human subjects increases, there is an ongoing controversy about whether the phenotypic heterogeneity of these cells may be a benefit or a disadvantage for these capacities. In order to better understand the nature of MSC subpopulations, attempts have been made to compare homogenous MSC preparations to crude MSCs. The aim of our study was to evaluate two different methods to purify bone-marrow derived MSCs for CD146, a marker that has been associated with endothelial cells, but recently with MSCs as well [41,42]. Fluorescence sorting provided a significant increase in CD146 expression, an increase in proliferation and chondrogenic differentiation, while osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation remained unchanged.

Our experiments focussed on a comparison of two different isolation techniques for CD146. In contrast to Sorrentino et al. [1], who derived a purified CD146+ population by sorting out CD34+ cells from an enriched BM-MSC population, we chose to directly sort the cells by positive selection for CD146 to determine whether these procedures affected the functional characteristics of the MSCs. While we observed an important donorrelated variation of CD146 expression in both media, a major difference between our experiments and the above

DMEM	PO	P1	P2	Р3	p value P0/P1, P0/P2, P0/P3
CD90	$89.78 \pm 6.52$	$98.39 \pm 0.44$	98.89 ± 0.69	98.18 ± 1.62	0.068, <b>0.028, 0.043</b>
CD105	89.02 ± 17.18	$95.45 \pm 4.34$	97.72 ± 1.53	98.33 ± 0.57	0.715, 0.249, 0.686
CD146	72.98 ± 9.21	78.62 ± 10.42	74.3 ± 17.31	72.64 ± 20	0.068, 0.917, 0.893
CD14	9.66 ± 7.61	$1.21 \pm 0.7$	<1	<1	0.068, <b>0.028, 0.028</b>
CD34	<1	<1	<1	<1	N/A
CD45	$12.69 \pm 8.44$	$5.53 \pm 1.59$	$6.03 \pm 2.34$	$6.16 \pm 4.1$	0.144, 0.249, 0.249
ES	PO	P1	P2	P3	p value
					P0/P1, P0/P2, P0/P3
CD73	85.75 ± 11.27	$99.045 \pm 0.8$	99.41 ± 0.6	99.26 ± 0.29	0.068, <b>0.043</b> , 0.109
CD90	$78.72 \pm 9.04$	94.1 ± 2.37	94.98 ± 4.18	$97.3 \pm 2.54$	0.109, <b>0.075</b> , 0.109
CD105	$89.06 \pm 8.77$	96.97 ± 2.89	$96.8 \pm 2.63$	96.19 ± 4.84	0.068, 0.116, 0.285
CD146	$56.07 \pm 7.69$	$60.54 \pm 7.59$	55.35 ± 11.91	45.19 ± 15.38	0.068, 0.753, 0.465
CD14	$9.55 \pm 6.93$	$2.13 \pm 2.11$	<1	<1	0.068, <b>0.028</b> , 0.109
CD34	<1	<1	<1	<1	N/A
CD45	12.14 ± 8.77	$3.5 \pm 2.04$	$2.46 \pm 1.54$	$5.19 \pm 3.8$	0.109, <b>0.028</b> , 0.273

Table 1 Surface marker expression in P0, P1, P2 and P3 in DMEM and ES media (see text for media composition)

Results are displayed as mean positive cells (%)  $\pm$  SD.

Bold p-values represent results below p=0.05.

study was also that the initial P0 CD146 expression level on BM-MSCs was relatively high, which is in accordance with our own findings from previous studies and with reports by Halfon et al. 2011 [35]. In contrary, other studies have found natural CD146 expression levels of BM-MSCs around 15% in P0 [41]. These differences may be due to different isolation and expansion techniques, but also due to different donor populations [43-45]. Further research is needed to determine why such striking differences in CD146 expression of human BM-MSCs have been reported in different studies.

Baksh et al. reported an easy feasibility of CD146 positive and negative sorting with fluorescence sorting [41], which is in accordance with our findings. In contrast, magnetic sorting led to a much lower increase in CD146 expression. In our opinion, this is an effect mainly encountered with MSCs, which are more heterogeneous than lymphocytes, where magnetic sorting is more effective. A limitation in both groups was that the natural CD146 expression before the sorting process showed a high donor-dependency, which resulted in a high purification in donors with a low CD146 expression, while the effect was less when CD146 expression was already high. The variations in surface marker distribution and growth as well as differentiation parameters are in accordance with the donor-related heterogeneity of MSCs described by other groups [43,44]. Interestingly, CD146 expression may also be one of the distinct properties of MSCs derived from different tissues [46,47].

In 2010, Russell et al. reported CD146 being associated with higher potency of bone-marrow derived MSCs, while all other surface markers, among them CD44, CD73 and CD271 showed no correlation with proliferation and colony-forming unit efficiency [48]. This is in accordance with our findings, where we observed a higher proliferation of MSCs sorted by fluorescence sorting. Considering the differentiation potential, our findings suggest that both methods retain the osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation potential of BM-MSCs when compared to control MSCs. As for the chondrogenic differentiation, although important donor-dependent variations in GAG/DNA content were observed, fluorescence sorting for CD146 led to an increase of GAG/DNA content compared to control MSCs. While there was no direct correlation between any of the surface markers, including CD146, and chondrogenic differentiation, the higher proliferation rates may have contributed to the observed superior chondrogenic differentiation in the FACS-sorted cells [49].

To rule out that the effects observed were caused by an increase or decrease in viable cells, we analyzed the viability by 7-AAD staining. We did not observe differences between the media; furthermore, when compared to control MSCs, no increase in 7-AAD positive cells was observed in both sorting groups, which reflects that the separation did not lead to late apoptosis or necrosis.

We also report significant differences in MSC surface marker distribution between DMEM-LG and ES medium. We chose the two media to examine the two separation



techniques in a very simple expansion medium as well as in a more complex medium. Differences in surface marker distribution of CD44, MAB1470, STRO-1 and HLA-DR have been reported depending on the media conditions applied [50]; and especially FGF-2 supplementation to the expansion media has been associated with CD146 downregulation [36]. The use of FGF-2 in ES medium may therefore have accounted for the differences in surface marker distribution. However, our results indicate that these differences are not limited to this marker, but apply to important MSC markers such as CD90 as well. With regards to their increasing use in human subjects, we believe that it is an important finding that the choice of media preselects the phenotype of MSCs. Although the functional consequences of this preselection remain unclear, the fact that the mean CD90 expression for instance in ES medium was 11% lower in ES than in DMEM-LG (with an important donor-dependant variation as well) would, according to the ISCT minimal criteria for MSCs [26] imply that ES medium provides 11% less MSCs than DMEM-LG in P0. However, our data also indicate that the expression of certain markers such as CD90 and CD73 seems to be acquired over time during in vitro culture in a number of MSCs.

Our results once again reveal the heterogeneous nature of MSCs derived from bone marrow. This heterogeneity may water some of the assumed connections between phenotype and function in many of the experiments so far. Up to this day, it has not fully become clear how regenerative features of MSCs in vitro can be predicted by their phenotype, secretion profile, or other properties. Several studies have shown that the loss of multi-potency with continued passage is not associated with changes in MSC phenotype [51,52]. While MSC phenotype can not predict the ability of in vivo bone formation [53], other studies suggest that a certain phenotype can discriminate MSCs with adipogenic and chondrogenic potential in vitro [54]. Our study demonstrates that differentiation results can be enhanced through the purification of MSC subpopulations. While there is no direct connection to the expression of CD146, and the other surface markers did not provide evidence for subpopulations within this distinct population, other surface markers may provide this information in the future.

# Conclusion

Fluorescence activated sorting for CD146 is an adequate technique to modify tissue engineering results with regards to chondrogenic differentiation without altering osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation. While working with different MSC subpopulations may clarify the so far obscure connections between phenotype and function, our findings suggest that greater efforts to improve standardized MSC culture techniques are necessary when it comes to taking these cells into the patient.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

SH, BM and TG conceived of the study. SH drafted the manuscript. SH, BM, TD, TG and SF provided the bone marrow samples. SH, VE and SF carried out the experiments. SF, VE, BM and SH performed the statistical analysis. SH, BM, TD, TG, VE and SF participated in study design and coordination and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge Dr. Patrick Horn for his critical input on the manuscript. We also would like to acknowledge Karina Borowski, Patrick Göthlich, Marc Hoffmann and Elena Tripel for their support. The study was carried out with funding by the state of Baden-Württemberg, Germany. None of the authors received external funding in connection with the study presented in this publication.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Orthopedic and Trauma Surgery, University Hospital Heidelberg, Schlierbacher Landstrasse 200a, 69118 Heidelberg, Germany. <sup>2</sup>Department of Medicine V, University Hospital Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany. <sup>3</sup>VA Boston Healthcare System, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA.

#### Received: 5 May 2014 Accepted: 8 September 2014 Published: 27 September 2014

#### References

- Sorrentino A, Ferracin M, Castelli G, Biffoni M, Tomaselli G, Baiocchi M, Fatica A, Negrini M, Peschle C, Valtieri M: Isolation and characterization of CD146+ multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells. *Exp Hematol* 2008, 36:1035–1046.
- Taghi GM, Ghasem Kashani Maryam H, Taghi L, Leili H, Leyla M: Characterization of in vitro cultured bone marrow and adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells and their ability to express neurotrophic factors. *Cell Biol Int* 2012, 36:1239–1249.
- Liu TM, Martina M, Hutmacher DW, Hui JH, Lee EH, Lim B: Identification of common pathways mediating differentiation of bone marrow- and adipose tissue-derived human mesenchymal stem cells into three mesenchymal lineages. *Stem Cells* 2007, 25:750–760.

- Yang XF, He X, He J, Zhang LH, Su XJ, Dong ZY, Xu YJ, Li YL: High efficient isolation and systematic identification of human adiposederived mesenchymal stem cells. J Biomed Sci 2011, 18:59.
- Barachini S, Trombi L, Danti S, D'Alessandro D, Battolla B, Legitimo A, Nesti C, Mucci I, D'Acunto M, Cascone MG, Lazzeri L, Mattii L, Consolini R, Petrini M: Morpho-functional characterization of human mesenchymal stem cells from umbilical cord blood for potential uses in regenerative medicine. Stem Cells Dev 2009, 18:293–305.
- Chong PP, Selvaratnam L, Abbas AA, Kamarul T: Human peripheral blood derived mesenchymal stem cells demonstrate similar characteristics and chondrogenic differentiation potential to bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells. J Orthop Res 2012, 30:634–642.
- Kim J, Shin JM, Jeon YJ, Chung HM, Chae JI: Proteomic validation of multifunctional molecules in mesenchymal stem cells derived from human bone marrow, umbilical cord blood and peripheral blood. *PLoS One* 2012, 7:e32350.
- Goepfert C, Slobodianski A, Schilling AF, Adamietz P, Portner R: Cartilage engineering from mesenchymal stem cells. *Adv Biochem Eng Biotechnol* 2010, 123:163–200.
- Hu Y, Cai K, Luo Z, Zhang Y, Li L, Lai M, Hou Y, Huang Y, Li J, Ding X, Zhang B, Sung KL: Regulation of the differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells in vitro and osteogenesis in vivo by microenvironmental modification of titanium alloy surfaces. *Biomaterials* 2012, 33:3515–3528.
- Lyons FG, Al-Munajjed AA, Kieran SM, Toner ME, Murphy CM, Duffy GP, O'Brien FJ: The healing of bony defects by cell-free collagen-based scaffolds compared to stem cell-seeded tissue engineered constructs. *Biomaterials* 2010, 31:9232–9243.
- Quevedo HC, Hatzistergos KE, Oskouei BN, Feigenbaum GS, Rodriguez JE, Valdes D, Pattany PM, Zambrano JP, Hu Q, McNiece I, Heldman AW, Hare JM: Allogeneic mesenchymal stem cells restore cardiac function in chronic ischemic cardiomyopathy via trilineage differentiating capacity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2009, **106**:14022–14027.
- Wang J, Najjar A, Zhang S, Rabinovich B, Willerson JT, Gelovani JG, Yeh ET: Molecular imaging of mesenchymal stem cell: mechanistic insight into cardiac repair after experimental myocardial infarction. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2012, 5:94–101.
- Le Blanc K, Rasmusson I, Sundberg B, Gotherstrom C, Hassan M, Uzunel M, Ringden O: Treatment of severe acute graft-versus-host disease with third party haploidentical mesenchymal stem cells. *Lancet* 2004, 363:1439–1441.
- Xu S, Menu E, De Becker A, Van Camp B, Vanderkerken K, Van Riet I: Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cells are attracted by multiple myeloma cell-produced chemokine CCL25 and favor myeloma cell growth in vitro and in vivo. Stem Cells 2012, 30:266–279.
- Wang Y, Zhao Z, Ren Z, Zhao B, Zhang L, Chen J, Xu W, Lu S, Zhao Q, Peng J: Recellularized nerve allografts with differentiated mesenchymal stem cells promote peripheral nerve regeneration. *Neurosci Lett* 2012, 514:96–101.
- Zappia E, Casazza S, Pedemonte E, Benvenuto F, Bonanni I, Gerdoni E, Giunti D, Ceravolo A, Cazzanti F, Frassoni F, Mancardi G, Uccelli A: Mesenchymal stem cells ameliorate experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis inducing T-cell anergy. *Blood* 2005, 106:1755–1761.
- Bartholomew A, Sturgeon C, Siatskas M, Ferrer K, McIntosh K, Patil S, Hardy W, Devine S, Ucker D, Deans R, Moseley A, Hoffman R: Mesenchymal stem cells suppress lymphocyte proliferation in vitro and prolong skin graft survival in vivo. *Exp Hematol* 2002, **30**:42–48.
- Batten P, Sarathchandra P, Antoniw JW, Tay SS, Lowdell MW, Taylor PM, Yacoub MH: Human mesenchymal stem cells induce T cell anergy and downregulate T cell allo-responses via the TH2 pathway: relevance to tissue engineering human heart valves. *Tissue Eng* 2006, 12:2263–2273.
- Di Nicola M, Carlo-Stella C, Magni M, Milanesi M, Longoni PD, Matteucci P, Grisanti S, Gianni AM: Human bone marrow stromal cells suppress T-lymphocyte proliferation induced by cellular or nonspecific mitogenic stimuli. *Blood* 2002, 99:3838–3843.
- Buhring HJ, Treml S, Cerabona F, de Zwart P, Kanz L, Sobiesiak M: Phenotypic characterization of distinct human bone marrow-derived MSC subsets. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2009, 1176:124–134.
- Deschaseaux F, Gindraux F, Saadi R, Obert L, Chalmers D, Herve P: Direct selection of human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells using an anti-CD49a antibody reveals their CD45med, low phenotype. Br J Haematol 2003, 122:506–517.

- Jones E, English A, Churchman SM, Kouroupis D, Boxall SA, Kinsey S, Giannoudis PG, Emery P, McGonagle D: Large-scale extraction and characterization of CD271+ multipotential stromal cells from trabecular bone in health and osteoarthritis: implications for bone regeneration strategies based on uncultured or minimally cultured multipotential stromal cells. *Arthritis Rheum* 2010, 62:1944–1954.
- Nasef A, Zhang YZ, Mazurier C, Bouchet S, Bensidhoum M, Francois S, Gorin NC, Lopez M, Thierry D, Fouillard L, Chapel A: Selected Stro-1-enriched bone marrow stromal cells display a major suppressive effect on lymphocyte proliferation. *Int J Lab Hematol* 2009, 31:9–19.
- Okumura T, Wang SS, Takaishi S, Tu SP, Ng V, Ericksen RE, Rustgi AK, Wang TC: Identification of a bone marrow-derived mesenchymal progenitor cell subset that can contribute to the gastric epithelium. *Lab Invest* 2009, 89:1410–1422.
- Yang R, Liu Y, Kelk P, Qu C, Akiyama K, Chen C, Atsuta I, Chen W, Zhou Y, Shi S: A subset of IL-17(+) mesenchymal stem cells possesses anti-Candida albicans effect. *Cell Res* 2013, 23:107–121.
- Dominici M, Le Blanc K, Mueller I, Slaper-Cortenbach I, Marini F, Krause D, Deans R, Keating A, Prockop D, Horwitz E: Minimal criteria for defining multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells. The International Society for Cellular Therapy position statement. *Cytotherapy* 2006, 8:315–317.
- Buhring HJ, Battula VL, Treml S, Schewe B, Kanz L, Vogel W: Novel markers for the prospective isolation of human MSC. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2007, 1106:262–271.
- Iso Y, Yamaya S, Sato T, Poole CN, Isoyama K, Mimura M, Koba S, Kobayashi Y, Takeyama Y, Spees JL, Suzuki H: Distinct mobilization of circulating CD271+ mesenchymal progenitors from hematopoietic progenitors during aging and after myocardial infarction. *Stem Cells Transl Med* 2012, 1:462–468.
- 29. Rostovskaya M, Anastassiadis K: Differential expression of surface markers in mouse bone marrow mesenchymal stromal cell subpopulations with distinct lineage commitment. *PLoS One* 2012, **7**:e51221.
- Sivasubramaniyan K, Lehnen D, Ghazanfari R, Sobiesiak M, Harichandan A, Mortha E, Petkova N, Grimm S, Cerabona F, de Zwart P, Abele H, Aicher WK, Faul C, Kanz L, Bühring HJ: Phenotypic and functional heterogeneity of human bone marrow- and amnion-derived MSC subsets. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2012, 1266:94–106.
- Askarinam A, James AW, Zara JN, Goyal R, Corselli M, Pan A, Liang P, Chang L, Rackohn T, Stoker D, Zhang X, Ting K, Péault B, Soo C: Human perivascular stem cells show enhanced osteogenesis and vasculogenesis with NELL-1 protein. *Tissue Eng Part A* 2013, 19:1386–1397.
- 32. James AW, Zara JN, Corselli M, Askarinam A, Zhou AM, Hourfar A, Nguyen A, Megerdichian S, Asatrian G, Pang S, Stoker D, Zhang X, Wu B, Ting K, Péault B, Soo C: An abundant perivascular source of stem cells for bone tissue engineering. Stem Cells Transl Med 2012, 1:673–684.
- 33. Covas DT, Panepucci RA, Fontes AM, Silva WA Jr, Orellana MD, Freitas MC, Neder L, Santos AR, Peres LC, Jamur MC, Zago MA: Multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells obtained from diverse human tissues share functional properties and gene-expression profile with CD146+ perivascular cells and fibroblasts. *Exp Hematol* 2008, 36:642–654.
- Dmitrieva RI, Minullina IR, Bilibina AA, Tarasova OV, Anisimov SV, Zaritskey AY: Bone marrow- and subcutaneous adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells: differences and similarities. *Cell Cycle* 2012, 11:377–383.
- Halfon S, Abramov N, Grinblat B, Ginis I: Markers distinguishing mesenchymal stem cells from fibroblasts are downregulated with passaging. Stem Cells Dev 2011, 20:53–66.
- Gharibi B, Hughes FJ: Effects of medium supplements on proliferation, differentiation potential, and in vitro expansion of mesenchymal stem cells. Stem Cells Transl Med 2012, 1:771–782.
- Hagmann S, Moradi B, Frank S, Dreher T, Kammerer PW, Richter W, Gotterbarm T: FGF-2 addition during expansion of human bone marrow-derived stromal cells alters MSC surface marker distribution and chondrogenic differentiation potential. *Cell Prolif* 2013, 46:396–407.
- Masuda H, Anwar SS, Buhring HJ, Rao JR, Gargett CE: A novel marker of human endometrial mesenchymal stem-like cells. *Cell Transplant* 2012, 21:2201–2214.
- Rajaraman G, White J, Tan KS, Ulrich D, Rosamilia A, Werkmeister J, Gargett CE: Optimization and scale-up culture of human endometrial multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells: potential for clinical application. *Tissue Eng Part C Methods* 2013, 19:80–92.

- Mrozik K, Gronthos S, Shi S, Bartold PM: A method to isolate, purify, and characterize human periodontal ligament stem cells. *Methods Mol Biol* 2010, 666:269–284.
- Baksh D, Yao R, Tuan RS: Comparison of proliferative and multilineage differentiation potential of human mesenchymal stem cells derived from umbilical cord and bone marrow. *Stem Cells* 2007, 25:1384–1392.
- Sacchetti B, Funari A, Michienzi S, Di Cesare S, Piersanti S, Saggio I, Tagliafico E, Ferrari S, Robey PG, Riminucci M, Bianco P: Self-renewing osteoprogenitors in bone marrow sinusoids can organize a hematopoietic microenvironment. *Cell* 2007, 131:324–336.
- Muschler GF, Boehm C, Easley K: Aspiration to obtain osteoblast progenitor cells from human bone marrow: the influence of aspiration volume. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1997, 79:1699–1709.
- Phinney DG, Kopen G, Righter W, Webster S, Tremain N, Prockop DJ: Donor variation in the growth properties and osteogenic potential of human marrow stromal cells. J Cell Biochem 1999, 75:424–436.
- 45. Wagner W, Ho AD: Mesenchymal stem cell preparations-comparing apples and oranges. *Stem Cell Rev* 2007, **3**:239–248.
- Amable PR, Teixeira MV, Carias RB, Granjeiro JM, Borojevic R: Protein synthesis and secretion in human mesenchymal cells derived from bone marrow, adipose tissue and Wharton's jelly. Stem Cell Res Ther 2014, 5:53.
- Lv F, Lu M, Cheung KM, Leung W, Zhou G: Intrinsic properties of mesenchymal stem cells from human bone marrow, umbilical cord and umbilical cord blood comparing the different sources of MSC. *Curr Stem Cell Res Ther* 2012, 7:389–399.
- Russell KC, Phinney DG, Lacey MR, Barrilleaux BL, Meyertholen KE, O'Connor KC: In vitro high-capacity assay to quantify the clonal heterogeneity in trilineage potential of mesenchymal stem cells reveals a complex hierarchy of lineage commitment. *Stem Cells* 2010, 28:788–798.
- Dexheimer V, Frank S, Richter W: Proliferation as a requirement for in vitro chondrogenesis of human mesenchymal stem cells. Stem Cells Dev 2012, 21:2160–2169.
- Sotiropoulou PA, Perez SA, Salagianni M, Baxevanis CN, Papamichail M: Characterization of the optimal culture conditions for clinical scale production of human mesenchymal stem cells. *Stem Cells* 2006, 24:462–471.
- Banfi A, Muraglia A, Dozin B, Mastrogiacomo M, Cancedda R, Quarto R: Proliferation kinetics and differentiation potential of ex vivo expanded human bone marrow stromal cells: Implications for their use in cell therapy. Exp Hematol 2000, 28:707–715.
- Digirolamo CM, Stokes D, Colter D, Phinney DG, Class R, Prockop DJ: Propagation and senescence of human marrow stromal cells in culture: a simple colony-forming assay identifies samples with the greatest potential to propagate and differentiate. *Br J Haematol* 1999, 107:275–281.
- Kuznetsov SA, Krebsbach PH, Satomura K, Kerr J, Riminucci M, Benayahu D, Robey PG: Single-colony derived strains of human marrow stromal fibroblasts form bone after transplantation in vivo. J Bone Miner Res 1997, 12:1335–1347.
- Battula VL, Treml S, Bareiss PM, Gieseke F, Roelofs H, de Zwart P, Muller I, Schewe B, Skutella T, Fibbe WE, Kanz L, Bühring HJ: Isolation of functionally distinct mesenchymal stem cell subsets using antibodies against CD56, CD271, and mesenchymal stem cell antigen-1. *Haematologica* 2009, 94:173–184.

#### doi:10.1186/1471-2474-15-322

Cite this article as: Hagmann *et al.*: Fluorescence activated enrichment of CD146+ cells during expansion of human bone-marrow derived mesenchymal stromal cells augments proliferation and GAG/DNA content in chondrogenic media. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders* 2014 15:322.