

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Low back pain beliefs are associated to age, location of work, education and pain-related disability in Chinese healthcare professionals working in China: a cross sectional survey

B-K Tan^{1*}, Anne J Smith², Peter B O'Sullivan², Gang Chen³, Angus F Burnett^{4,5} and Andrew M Briggs^{2,6}

Abstract

Background: Low back pain (LBP) is the leading cause of disability worldwide. Evidence pointing towards a more efficacious model of care using a biopsychosocial approach for LBP management highlights the need to understand the pain-related beliefs of patients and those who treat them. The beliefs held by healthcare professionals (HCPs) are known to influence the treatment advice given to patients and consequently management outcomes. Back pain beliefs are known to be influenced by factors such as culture, education, health literacy, place of work, personal experience of LBP and the sequelae of LBP such as disability. There is currently a knowledge gap among these relationships in non-western countries. The aim of this study was to examine the associations between LBP-related beliefs among Chinese HCPs and characteristics of these HCPs.

Methods: A convenience sample of 432 HCPs working in various health settings in Shanghai, China, completed a series of questionnaires assessing their demographic characteristics, LBP status, pain-related disability and their beliefs about their own LBP experience, using the Back beliefs Questionnaire (BBQ) and the Fear Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire (FABO).

Results: Younger Chinese HCPs (20–29 years) held more negative beliefs and attitudes related to LBP compared to older HCPs (>40years; BBQ mean difference [95% CI]: 2.4 [0.9 - 3.9], p = 0.001). HCPs working outside tertiary hospitals had poorer beliefs concerning the inevitable consequences of LBP (BBQ mean difference [95% CI]: -2.4 [-3.8 - -1.0], p = 0.001). HCPs who experienced LBP had higher level of fear avoidance beliefs when experiencing high LBP-related disability (FABQ-physical mean difference [95% CI]: 2.8 [1.5 - 4.1], p < 0.001; FABQ-work mean difference [95% CI]: 2.9 [-5.8 - 0.0], p = 0.049).

Conclusion: This study suggests that LBP-related beliefs and attitudes among Chinese HCPs are influenced by age, location of work, level of LBP-related disability and education level. Understanding back pain beliefs of Chinese HCPs forms an important foundation for future studies into the condition and its management in China.

Keywords: Low back pain, Back pain beliefs, China, Healthcare professionals, Fear avoidance

^{*} Correspondence: bk.tan@curtin.edu.au ¹International Health, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Curtin University, GPO Box U 1987, Perth, Western Australia 6845, Australia Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Background

Low back pain (LBP) is recognized as a leading cause of disability in working populations [1-4]. The Global Burden of Disease Study 2010 identified LBP as one of the leading causes of disability worldwide [4,5]. In 2010, based on disability-adjusted life years as a measure of disease burden, LBP was ranked 6th, a concerning ascend, compared to its 11th place in 1990 [5]. Some occupational groups are at an increased risk of developing LBP due to the nature of their duties. For example, healthcare professionals (HCPs) are known to have a high incidence of LBP; the lifetime LBP prevalence amongst rheumatologists and physiotherapists is known to be 46% [6] and 74% [7], respectively. The LBP prevalence rates among nurses, from varying ethnic backgrounds, experiencing LBP during a 12-month period have been reported to range from 56% to 90% [8-13]. Similar occupation-specific trends have also been identified for thoracic spine pain [14]. The significant personal and community economic consequence of LBP such as the loss of productivity, absenteeism and early attrition from the workforce [15-17] highlight the need to identify solutions to resolve this health problem in working populations [18].

The biopsychosocial model of care for management of chronic LBP focuses on effective self-management and capacity of the consumer to actively engage in comanagement of the experience [19,20]. Self-management behaviours may be influenced by beliefs and attitudes associated with the experience of LBP and its consequences. Indeed, it has been shown that unhelpful beliefs are associated with higher levels of pain and disability [21-24]. These beliefs can be influenced either positively or negatively by interactions with HCPs [25-27], which are in turn influenced by health professionals' training, their clinical interests in the management of LBP and the beliefs held by the HCPs themselves [27-29].

The investigation of LBP beliefs held by HCPs has been the focus of recent research as they are known to affect treatment advice given [6,27,30-32] and can influence the beliefs of their patients [25,26,33]. Importantly, these beliefs may contribute to the development of chronic LBP disorders, related disability, care seeking and self-management behaviours [24,30,34]. Understanding the LBP-related beliefs of HCPs and their drivers is, therefore, important for the development of professional education and supporting consumers in effective co-management.

Back pain beliefs are known to be affected by factors such as culture, education, health literacy, place of work, personal experience of LBP and the sequelae of LBP such as disability [24,35-38]. For HCPs, the organizational and professional settings, experience in clinical practice and educational history are important correlates, some of which are potentially modifiable [39,40]. Most research on

pain beliefs have, however, been almost exclusively investigated in developed western countries, creating a knowledge gap in this area in other ethnic groups. Chinese culture has been considered to influence health beliefs related to chronic diseases [41-43]. A recent study showed that Taiwanese and Singaporean-Chinese undergraduate physiotherapy and nursing students displayed more negative beliefs about the inevitable consequences of LBP and were more fear avoidant compared to their Caucasian Australians counterparts [35]. Understanding back pain beliefs in Chinese HCPs is, therefore, important due to the increase in migration trends of Chinese HCPs to western countries [44] and the potential influence they bring to their clinical practice in new host country; the rapid modernization of China with the adoption of western culture and; beliefs linked to changes in health policy and practice in that nation.

To date, LBP research in China has focused on prevalence data and on physical and environmental risk factors [45-48] and there has been no research examining back pain beliefs in the Chinese population. This is despite there being a growing interest in the related literature in back pain beliefs in patients, general population and HCPs. Therefore, the aim of this study was to examine the LBP-related beliefs, including beliefs surrounding the inevitable consequences of back pain and fear-avoidance attitudes related to their personal experience of LBP, among Chinese HCPs and to explore the association between these beliefs and characteristics of the HCPs including, location of work, professional discipline, history of LBP and LBP-related disability.

Methods

Study Design

A cross-sectional survey, using convenience samples.

Research settings

Chinese HCPs working in Shanghai, China, were recruited for this study. In 2012, Shanghai was recorded to have a population of 23 million residents, representing China's largest city [49]. To investigate whether location of work/professional setting influences back pain beliefs, data were collected sequentially in two locations; HCPs working in tertiary teaching hospitals in metropolitan Shanghai (Xuhui, Jing'An and Yangpu districts) and HCPs working in community health centres in Jin Shan district. The larger community health centres in Jin Shan district have both inpatient and outpatient departments. The Jin Shan district is 69 km southwest from Shanghai city and relative to the metropolitan city of Shanghai represents a mixed urban and rural area. It is the second furthest district from metropolitan Shanghai, not serviced by Shanghai's extensive metro sub-way system. In 2011, Jin Shan had an urban population of 337 340 and a rural population of 179 466 [50]. The per capita annual disposable income of the urban and rural residents of Jin Shan was 28 640 yuan (\approx US\$ 4 700) and 14 199 yuan (\approx US\$2 300), respectively [50]. In metropolitan Shanghai, urban residents have an annual per capita disposable income of 40 188 yuan (\approx US\$6 600) [49]. The key differentiators for the two areas are urban versus urban—rural mix and the care settings in which the professionals worked (tertiary hospitals in Shanghai metropolitan districts versus community health centres in Jin Shan district).

Participants and recruitment

In this study, the term HCPs refers to any professional working in a government funded hospitals or health settings who are involved in providing patient care. HCPs working in these settings would be professionally qualified to practice in their respective fields.

A convenience sample of registered medical practitioners, traditional Chinese medicine practitioners, therapists (general rehabilitation therapists or therapists in specialised fields such as physiotherapists or occupational therapists) and nurses working in three main tertiary hospitals in metropolitan Shanghai, and 11 community health centres in Jin Shan district, were recruited for this study in 2011 and 2012, respectively. Initial sample size calculation based upon attaining a power of 80% to estimate clinically important differences in BBQ scores of 1.9 points between the two practice settings indicated a required sample size of 314, assuming a standard deviation in BBQ of 6 points, and $\alpha = 0.05$. Therefore a target sample size of n = 465 was estimated, to allow for a potential 33% non-returned or incomplete questionnaires. To be included in this study, the HCPs must have had provided treatment, or cared for, patients with LBP in their clinical practice as well as having worked more than six months at their current institution. HCPs with or without LBP were included in the study. It is recognised that the sampled HCPs for this study may have varying academic and professional education backgrounds; hence, no HCPs were excluded based on their academic history, especially for older medical practitioners who may have attained a Diploma in Medicine in the earlier years of medical education in China [51].

The data were collected by local research assistants, trained for this study. The research assistants first approached the Heads of Departments of each discipline in the hospitals and community health centres to explain the purpose of the study and to seek permission to invite staff members to participate in a cross-sectional survey. Once permission was granted, the research assistants approached the HCPs at their place of work during lunchtime to canvass their interest in participation. Participant information sheets (in Simplified Chinese) were provided and the research assistants conducted a brief

verbal screening to ensure that the participants met the inclusion criteria, namely their experience treating or caring for patients with LBP and length of time working in the institution, before seeking informed consent. The surveys (also in Simplified Chinese) were then distributed and the completed questionnaires were collected within a period of 24 hours.

Approval to conduct this study was granted by the Institutional Review Board of Fudan University (Shanghai, China) and Human Research Committees of Curtin University and Edith Cowan University (Perth, Western Australia). All participants gave informed consent prior to participation in this study.

Procedure and outcome measures

Participants completed a number of questionnaires that had all been translated into Simplified Chinese using the double-back translation method previously utilised by this research team [52]. First, all participants completed a selfreport questionnaire that sought personal information such as: gender, age, height, weight, occupation, number of years in their current profession, highest formal education, and history of LBP (Yes/No answer). All participants completed the Back Beliefs Questionnaire (BBQ), while only participants with a history of LBP completed the Fear Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire (FABQ). Participants who indicated that they had previously experienced LBP were also requested to complete instruments which assessed their LBP status, severity and impact using the Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire (NMQ), a Visual Analogue Scale (VAS), and the Roland Morris Disability Questionnaire (RMDQ), respectively.

Instruments assessing back pain beliefs Back Beliefs Questionnaire (BBQ)

All participants completed the BBQ, which examines the general beliefs about the inevitable consequences of future life with LBP. This questionnaire consists of 14 statements scored on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (completely disagree) to 5 (completely agree). Scores range between 9 to 45, with five statements acting as distractors. Lower scores represent more negative beliefs of an individual towards low back trouble. The BBQ has been previously shown to possess adequate internal consistency (Cronbach's α = 0.70) and test-retest reliability (ICC = 0.87) [53]. A translation of the BBQ into Simplified Chinese has been undertaken by our research group and has been found to have good test-retest reliability (ICC = 0.85) and internal consistency (Cronbach's α = 0.70) [52].

Fear-Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire (FABQ)

Participants with a history of LBP completed the FABQ, which contains two sub-scales; fear avoidance beliefs in relation to work (FABQ-work) and physical activity

(FABQ-physical) [23]. The FABQ-work sub-scale has 11 questions and the FABQ-physical has five questions rated on a Likert scale from 0 (completely disagree) to 6 (completely agree). The work sub-scale scores range between 0 to 42, derived by adding 7 items (4 distractor items) and the physical sub-scale range between 0 to 24 by adding 4 items (1 distractor) [23]. A higher score indicates more strongly held fear avoidance beliefs. The internal consistency (Cronbach's α) of the FABQ-work and FABQ-physical have been reported to be 0.88 and 0.77, respectively [23]. The Simplified Chinese versions of the FABQ-work and FABQ-physical have shown a Cronbach's α of 0.97 and 0.78, respectively and ICCs of 0.90 to 0.93 [52].

Instruments assessing LBP status, severity and impact Modified Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire about Low Back Trouble (NMQ)

In this study, the NMQ pertaining to low back trouble was used to identify the respondents who had experienced LBP in their lifetime and in the last 12 month. The test-retest reliability of the questionnaire as it relates to LBP was first reported by Kuorinka et al. [54] in 1987 where the percentage of disagreeing answers averaged 4.4%. Further iterations of the tool have also been reported to be reliable [55].

Visual Analog Scale (VAS)

The VAS is an instrument that measures the intensity of pain. This instrument consists of a 100 mm horizontal line, anchored by word descriptors at each end, namely; "no pain" and "very severe pain". The participants were asked to indicate their *usual level of (low back) pain in the last week* by placing a cross on the horizontal line. This method of measuring pain has been widely used and has previously been found to be reliable and valid in English [56]. There is an absence of previous psychometric evaluation of the Simplified Chinese version of this scale, possibly due to its simplicity and ubiquitous use.

Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire (RMDQ)

The RMDQ is a 24 item self-reported questionnaire measuring the physical disability level in people with LBP. Scores may range from 0 (no disability) to 24 (maximum disability). The RMDQ has been found to have a high degree of reliability (ICC = 0.88) and internal consistency (Cronbach's α between 0.84-0.93) [57]. Psychometric analyses of Simplified Chinese versions of this questionnaire have been conducted and were shown to possess consistently good test-retest reliability (ICC = 0.95) and internal consistency (Cronbach's α ranging from 0.83 to 0.88) [48,58].

Statistical analysis

The participants were asked to indicate their highest formal education qualification, and these were subsequently classified as: secondary technical qualification, junior college/senior high school, bachelor's degree, master's degree or PhD (these latter two categories were subsequently classified as having a postgraduate education). The HCPs were also grouped according to the following occupational groups: i) nurses; ii) medical practitioners, which included general practitioners, rehabilitation medicine specialists and rehabilitation specialist trainee practitioners; iii) therapists, which included general rehabilitation therapists and therapists in specialised fields, for example, physiotherapists or occupational therapists; iv) traditional Chinese medicine practitioners category included acupuncturists who used needling and cupping as part of their treatment for low back pain; and iv) other: this group included trainee medical practitioners, trainee therapists or medical practitioners in other fields for example obstetricians or surgeons who indicated having had experience managing patients with LBP.

Participants were also classified according to their location of work; 'metropolitan' or 'regional' if they worked in one of the tertiary and teaching hospitals in metropolitan Shanghai place or in community healthcare centres in Jin Shan district, respectively.

To investigate the influence of LBP and LBP-related disability on back pain beliefs, the sample was reclassified into three groups according to their LBP status and LBP-related disability levels. The groups were classified into i) those who reported no history of LBP (No LBP group); ii) those who reported a history of LBP and a disability score of < 7 on the RMDQ (LBP-low disability group) and; iii) those with a history of LBP and a RMDQ score of ≥ 7 (LBP-high disability group). The cutoff point of 7 was used to classify 'low' and 'high' disability as it has been previously reported that people with LBP and higher disability (RMDQ scores 7 to 13) were 9.8 times more likely be off work six months after injury compared to those who had lower disability scores (RMDQ scores 0 to 6) [59]. Further, nurses with back pain reporting modified duties or work absence have previously reported a mean RMDQ score of 8 [16].

Univariable associations between the three dependent variables i) BBQ ii) FABQ-physical and ii) FABQ-work and independent variables were assessed using linear regression analysis. Three multivariable linear regression models were estimated to examine the independent associations between the three dependent variables: i) BBQ ii) FABQ-physical and ii) FABQ-work, and each independent variable adjusting for all other independent variables. BBQ models included all participants whereas FABQ models included only those participants reporting LBP. Standard regression diagnostics were assessed,

including standardised residual plots, partial regression plots, and variance inflation factors. Absence of influential data points and collinearity, and normality and homoscedasticity of residuals were also confirmed. Statistical analysis was performed using Stata/IC 10.1 for Windows (Statacorp LP, College Station TX). Statistical significance was set at $\alpha = 0.05$.

Results

A total of 231 and 201 questionnaires from tertiary hospitals in metropolitan Shanghai and community health centres in Jin Shan district, respectively, were used for analysis. Of the 240 questionnaires distributed to HCPs working in tertiary hospitals, 234 questionnaires were returned and three were rejected due to multiple incomplete items. In Jin Shan district, 225 questionnaires were distributed and of these, 216 were returned. Fifteen questionnaires were further rejected due to several incomplete demographic items or no LBP status indicated.

The demographic characteristics of the various disciplines of HCPs working in metropolitan tertiary hospitals and regional community health centres in Shanghai are summarised in Table 1. 40% to 61% of all occupational groups reported having had experienced LBP in their lifetime with the exception of the five traditional Chinese medicine practitioners working in the metropolitan hospitals who indicated that they never experienced

LBP. Similarly, 38.5% to 58.7% (Table 1) of all occupational groups reported having had experienced LBP in the last 12 months. Overall, the HCPs in this study reported fairly low pain levels on the VAS for *usual pain in the past week* with median scores ranging from 0.8 to 2.6 (Table 1).

For BBQ scores, there were significant group differences in scores in univariable analysis for location of work, occupation, gender, and age (Table 2) where more positive beliefs were identified among metropolitanbased practitioners, males, and in older practitioners. Differences in beliefs between occupational groups were also identified in univariable analysis. The estimates from the multivariable model are presented in Table 3, and indicate significant independent associations between BBQ scores and location of work and age. It was estimated that HCPs working in community health centres in regional Shanghai had lower BBQ scores than HCPs working in tertiary hospitals in metropolitan Shanghai (mean difference [95% CI]: -2.4 [3.8 - -1.0], p = 0.001), and that older HCPs (>40) had higher BBQ scores than 20-29 year olds (mean difference [95% CI]: 2.4 [0.9 - 3.9], p = 0.001) and 30 to 39yr olds (mean difference [95% CI]: 1.6 [0.1-3.1], p = 0.036). The estimated difference of lower BBQ scores in females compared to males was attenuated and not statistically significant after adjusting for other independent variables (mean

Table 1 Demographic characteristic of healthcare professionals working in tertiary hospitals in metropolitan Shanghai (Xuhui, Jing'An and Yangpu districts) and community health centres in regional Shanghai (Jin Shan district)

Demographic characteristic	Tertiary hospitals in metropolitan Shanghai (N = 231)				Community health centres in regional Shanghai (N = 201)					
	Nurses	Medical Practitioners	Therapists	TCMP	Other	Nurses	Medical Practitioners	Therapists	TCMP	Other
N (%) ^a	111 (48.1)	46 (19.9)	44 (19.0)	5 (2.2)	25 (10.8)	102 (51.3)	71 (35.7)	0	13 (6.5)	13 (6.5)
Gender: n (%) female ^b	109 (98.2)	25 (54.3)	18 (40.9)	3 (30.0)	14 (56.0)	98 (97.0)	30 (42.3)	0	6 (46.2)	3 (23.1)
Highest academic qualification% ^c Secondary technical	16.2	2.2	11.4	0	4.0	36.4	14.3	-	30.8	33.3
Junior college/Senior high	56.8	2.2	20.5	0	4.0	58.6	30.0	=	30.8	16.7
Bachelor degree	23.4	*43.5	56.8	60.0	60.0	5.1	*50.0	=	30.8	50.0
Postgraduate degree	3.6	52.2	11.4	40.0	32.0	0	5.7	=	7.7	0
Age: mean (SD) ^a	32.9 (10.0)	39.3 (10.0)	35.0 (9.7)	38.8 (8.6)	29.9 (10.8)	35.8 (9.9)	40.6 (10.2)	=	46.5 (10.6)	43.7 (9.9)
Years of practice: mean (SD) ^a	11.5 (10.1)	14.1 (10.2)	12.7 (10.5)	16 (8.7)	7.8 (11.9)	15.0 (10.1)	18.3 (10.9)	=	22.6 (10.5)	22.1 (10.1)
Lifetime experience of LBP n (%)	65 (58.6)	24 (52.2)	24 (54.5)	0 (0.0)	10 (40.0)	59 (57.8)	37 (52.1)	-	7 (53.8)	8 (61.5)
Experience of LBP in last 12 month n (%)	64 (57.7)	22 (47.8)	24 (54.5)	-	10 (40.0)	55 (53.9)	35 (49.3)	-	5 (38.5)	7 (53.8)
Usual pain last week (/10) Median (range)	1.7 (0-8)	1.2 (0-7)	2.0 (0-8)	-	1.6 (0-4)	2.1 (0-10)	1.9 (0–9)	-	2.6 (1–8)	0.8 (0-5)

TCMP = Traditional Chinese Medicine Practitioners;

^{*}A proportion of medical practitioners do not have a bachelor's degree. They may have undertaken a certificate-oriented three-year medical training course at a medical college before the reform in medical education in the late 1990s [51].

a: n = 2 data points missing for rural practitioners, N = 199.

b: n = 3 data point missing for rural practitioners, N = 198.

c: n = 7 data point missing for rural practitioners, N = 194.

Table 2 Group frequencies and group means for beliefs about low back pain for independent variable categories (N = 432)

	N (%)	BBQ Mean (SD)	p-value ¹
Location of work			
Metropolitan hospitals	231 (53.5)	29.2 (5.8)	0.003
Regional community health centres	201 (46.5)	27.4 (6.5)	
Occupation			
Nurses	213 (49.5)	27.3 (6.6)	0.014
Medical practitioners	117 (27.2)	29.4 (5.9)	
Therapists	44 (10.2)	29.7 (5.2)	
TCMP	18 (4.2)	30.1 (4.9)	
Other	38 (8.8)	28.3 (5.8)	
LBP Disability			
No LBP	196 (45.4)	28.3 (6.2)	0.492
LBP low disability	151 (34.9)	28.7 (6.1)	
LBP high disability	85 (19.7)	27.7 (6.6)	
Gender			
Male	125 (29.0)	29.9 (6.0)	0.001
Female	306 (71.0)	27.7 (6.2)	
Age			
20 to29 yrs	143 (33.1)	27.3 (5.5)	0.011
30 to 39 yrs	118 (27.3)	28.0 (6.0)	
40 yrs or greater	171 (39.6)	29.4 (6.8)	
Academic Qualification			
Secondary technical	80 (18.7)	27.9 (5.9)	0.057
Junior college/Senior high	159 (37.2)	27.6 (6.8)	
Bachelor degree	139 (32.6)	29.1 (5.6)	
Postgraduate degree	49 (11.5)	29.8 (5.9)	

¹p-value for overall test for the difference in groups means, using dummy variable coding in univariable linear regression models.

BBQ = beliefs about low back pain derived from the Back Belief Questionnaire; scores ranged between 9–45 with lower scores representing more negative beliefs. TCMP = Traditional Chinese Medicine Practitioners.

Other: included trainee medical practitioners, trainee therapists or medical practitioners in other fields for example obstetricians or surgeons who have had experience managing patients with LBP.

difference [95% CI]: -1.4 [-3.0 - 0.2], p = 0.082). However, there were no significant differences in BBQ scores according to occupation, education, or LBP disability after adjusting for all other variables. The adjusted R^2 for the multivariable model was 0.054.

In those participants with a history of LBP, the only variable for which a significant group difference in FABQ-physical scores was estimated in univariable analyses was for LBP disability (Table 4), where practitioners with higher LBP-related disability reported higher FABQ-physical scores. This difference remained similar after adjusting for all other variables (Table 5), with HCPs reporting highly disabling LBP estimated to have higher FABQ-physical scores than those reporting low disability (mean difference [95% CI]: 2.8 [1.5 - 4.1], p < 0.001). In the adjusted model, those with postgraduate degrees had lower scores (mean difference [95% CI]: 2.9

[-5.8 - 0.0], p = 0.049) than those with secondary/technical qualifications, although the omnibus test for group differences for this variable was not statistically significant and there were no other significant group contrasts. No other variables were estimated to have associations with FABQ-physical scores in the multivariable model. The adjusted \mathbb{R}^2 for the multivariable model was 0.082.

Similarly, in those participants with a history of LBP, the only variable for which significant group differences in FABQ-work scores was estimated in univariable analyses was for LBP disability (Table 4), where practitioners with higher LBP-related disability reported higher fear avoidance attitudes. This difference remained after adjusting for all other variables (Table 5), with HCPs reporting highly disabling LBP estimated to have higher FABQ-work scores than those reporting low disability (mean difference [95% CI]: 6.2 [4.0 - 8.4], p < 0.001). After adjustment for

Table 3 Summary of the estimates from the multivariable regression model for beliefs about low back pain using the Back Belief Questionnaire (BBQ) as the dependent variable

	Marginal Means	Unstandardised regression coefficient ¹		95% CI		p-value
Location of work						
Metropolitan hospitals	29.5	REF				
Regional community health centres	27.1	-2.4	-3.8	-	-1.0	0.001
Occupation						
Nurses	28.1	REF				0.773 ²
Medical practitioners	29.0	0.9	-0.9	-	2.7	0.341
Therapists	28.1	0.0	-2.3	-	2.3	1.000
TCMP	29.7	1.6	-1.6	-	4.8	0.321
Other	28.1	0.0	-2.4	-	2.4	0.977
LBP Disability						
No LBP	28.5	REF				0.387 ²
LBP low disability	28.8	0.3	-1.0	-	1.6	0.650
LBP high disability	27.6	-0.9	-2.5	-	0.8	0.298
Gender						
Male	29.4	REF				
Female	28.0	-1.4	-3.0	-	0.2	0.082
Age						
20 to29 yrs	27.2	REF				0.005 ²
30 to 39 yrs	28.0	0.8	-0.8	-	2.3	0.326
40 yrs or greater	29.6	2.4 ³	0.9	-	3.9	0.001
Academic Qualification						
Secondary technical school	28.5	REF				0.951 ²
Junior college/Senior High	28.2	-0.3	-2.0	-	1.3	0.683
Undergraduate degree	28.6	0.1	-1.9	-	2.0	0.956
Postgraduate degree	28.3	-0.3	-2.9	-	2.3	0.843

¹adjusted for all other independent variables.

Other: included trainee medical practitioners, trainee therapists or medical practitioners in other fields for example obstetricians or surgeons who have had experience managing patients with LBP.

other variables, there was also a statistically significant difference in FABQ-work scores according to age, with older HCPs (>40 years of age) estimated to have lower FABQ-work scores than 30 to 39 years old (mean difference [95% CI]: -3.4, [-6.0 - -0.7], p = 0.013). Although the estimated difference of lower FABQ-work scores in females compared to males was increased after adjusting for other variables, it was not statistically significant in the multivariable model (mean difference [95% CI]: -3.0 [-6.0 - 0.0], p = 0.053). The adjusted R² for the multivariable model was 0.123.

Discussion

To our knowledge this is the first study that has investigated the LBP-related beliefs of Chinese HCPs working in metropolitan and regional China, specifically, their

beliefs about the inevitable consequences of LBP and fear avoidance beliefs related to their own LBP experience. Our results suggest that younger HCPs and those working in regional community health centres had more negative beliefs about the inevitable consequences of future life with LBP. The estimated statistically significant difference of 2.4-point in BBQ scores between younger (20–29 age group) and older HCPs (>40 years old) and in different work settings is considered clinically meaningful [34]. Buchbinder and colleagues [34], in a two-year population-based longitudinal study, documented that a 2-point change in BBQ score was associated with a reduction in medical claims related to the management of LBP.

Fear avoidance beliefs were also associated with age, where younger HCPs with LBP were more fearful that

²Joint Wald test for coefficients.

 $^{^{3}}$ contrast to '30-39 yrs' category = 1.6(95% CI: 0.1 to 3.1, p = .036).

TCMP = Traditional Chinese Medicine Practitioners.

Table 4 Group frequencies and group means for the sub-scale Fear Avoidance Beliefs (FABQ-physical and FABQ-work sub scales) for independent variable categories (LBP only, N = 236)

	N (%)	FABQ-Phys Mean (SD)	p-value ¹	FABQ- Work Mean (SD)	p-value ¹
Location of work					
Metropolitan hospitals	124 (52.5)	17.9 (4.4)	.216	17.9 (9.2)	0.254
Regional community health centres	112 (47.5)	17.1 (5.2)		16.6 (7.3)	
Occupation					
Nurses	125 (53.2)	17.9 (4.7)	.427	17.5 (8.7)	0.300
Medical practitioners	61 (26.0)	16.9 (4.9)		16.8 (7.5)	
Therapists	24 (10.2)	18.1 (4.9)		20.1 (8.8)	
TCMP	7 (3.0)	15.3 (5.3)		12.5 (5.6)	
Other	18 (7.7)	17.0 (4.4)		16.4 (8.3)	
LBP Disability					
LBP low disability	151 (64.0)	16.4 (5.0)	<.001	15.2 (8.5)	< 0.001
LBP high disability	85 (36.0)	19.5 (3.7)		20.9 (6.8)	
Gender					
Male	67 (28.5)	17.1 (4.8)	.414	18.2 (8.3)	0.318
Female	168 (71.5)	17.6 (4.8)		16.9 (8.4)	
Age					
20 to29 years	68 (28.8)	17.5 (4.2)	.988	17.1 (7.8)	0.421
30 to 39yrs	64 (27.1)	17.5 (4.9)		18.4 (8.4)	
40 or greater	104 (44.1)	17.4 (5.1)		16.7 (8.7)	
Academic Qualification					
Secondary technical	47 (20.1)	18.2 (5.3)	.159	17.5 (8.5)	0.596
Junior college/Senior High	89 (38.0)	17.3 (4.9)		17.2 (8.1)	
Bachelor degree	75 (32.1)	17.7 (4.4)		17.9 (8.9)	
Postgraduate degree	23 (9.8)	15.6 (4.2)		15.2 (7.2)	

¹p-value for overall test for the difference in groups means, using dummy variable coding in univariable linear regression models. TCMP = Traditional Chinese Medicine Practitioners.

Other: included trainee medical practitioners, trainee therapists or medical practitioners in other fields for example obstetricians or surgeons who have had experience managing patients with LBP.

engaging in work-related activities would exacerbate their LBP. HCPs who experienced greater LBP-related disability also reported greater fear avoidance attitudes with this study estimating a 3- to 6-point difference in the FABQ physical and work subscale, respectively. The minimal clinically significant changes for the FABQ subscales have not been well validated but it has been theorized that a 6-point change in the FABQ-physical will see a clinically meaningful reduction in self-perceived disability based on the Oswestry Disability Index [60].

This study estimates that age is an important correlate for both BBQ and FABQ-work scores suggesting that older HCPs, regardless of their disciplines or occupation, had more positive beliefs (better outlook) about inevitable consequence of LBP and less fear avoidance beliefs about work. Possible reasons for this may be because they have more experience in treating people with pain; they may be indifferent to their LBP and continue with

their normal level of work and socialization [61]; and because of their positive beliefs, they play a more active role in their own management [62]. Further, we have captured the older HCPs who continued to stay in the workforce, due to their more positive beliefs, as those who were more fearful that work may make their LBP condition worse may have left the profession. Whilst other studies did not find age to be an important correlate of BBQ [61,63] and FABQ [30,64], direct comparisons cannot be made due to the difference in age-group classification used [30,64] and difference in the characteristics of the samples [61,63].

Healthcare professionals working in regional community health centres were estimated to have poorer beliefs about the consequences of LBP compared to those working in tertiary teaching hospitals in metropolitan Shanghai. As beliefs can be shaped by education [34,35,39], it is reasonable to suggest that the following

Table 5 Summary of the estimates from the multivariable regression model for FABQ-Physical (N = 231) and FABQ-Work (N = 230)

	FABQ-Physical				FABQ-Work			
	Marginal means	Unstandardised regression coefficient ¹	95% CI	p-value	Marginal means	Unstandardised regression coefficient 1	95% CI	p-value
Location of work								
Metropolitan hospitals	17.9	REF			17.6	REF		
Regional community health centres	16.8	-1.1	-2.6 - 0.4	0.148	17.0	-0.6	-3.2 - 2.0	0.639
Occupation								
Nurses	17.7	REF		0.781 ²	17.5	REF		0.483 ²
Medical practitioners	17.4	-0.3	-2.2 - 1.5	0.728	17.5	0.0	-3.2 - 3.2	0.996
Therapists	17.4	-0.3	-2.9 - 2.3	0.814	18.7	1.3	-3.2 - 5.7	0.572
TCMP	15.8	-1.9	-5.6 - 1.9	0.324	13.3	-4.2	-10.7 - 2.2	0.200
Other	16.4	-1.3	-4.0 - 1.3	0.325	15.3	-2.1	-6.7 - 2.4	0.359
LBP Disability								
LBP low disability	16.4	REF			15.1	REF		
LBP high disability	19.2	2.8	1.5 - 4.1	< 0.001	21.3	6.2	4.0 - 8.4	< 0.001
Gender								
Male	17.7	REF			19.5	REF		
Female	17.3	-0.4	-2.1 - 1.4	0.677	16.5	-3.0	-6.0 - 0.0	0.053
Age								
20 to29 yrs	17.4	REF		0.847^{2}	17.6	REF		0.044^2
30 to 39 yrs	17.7	0.4	-1.3 - 2.0	0.665	19.3	1.7	-1.1 - 4.4	0.239
40 yrs or greater	17.3	-0.1	-1.6 - 1.5	0.924	15.9	-1.7^{3}	-4.4 - 0.9	0.205
Academic Qualification								
Secondary technical	18.5	REF		0.165 ²	18.0	REF		0.618 ²
Junior college/Senior High	17.2	-1.3	-3.0 - 0.4	0.137	17.6	-0.5	-3.4 - 2.4	0.753
Bachelor degree	17.7	-0.8	-2.7 - 1.2	0.446	17.4	-0.7	-4.0 - 2.7	0.689
Postgraduate degree	15.5	-2.9	-5.8 - 0.0	0.049	14.8	-3.2	-8.2 - 1.8	0.205

¹adjusted for all other independent variables.

TCMP = Traditional Chinese Medicine Practitioners; Other: included trainee medical practitioners, trainee therapists or medical practitioners in other fields for example obstetricians or surgeons who have had experience managing patients with LBP.

reasons may account for this; first, there may be lack of resources and opportunities to access contemporary evidence-based clinical guidelines in the more geographically-remote regions. It has been documented that accessibility to LBP information, services and training was more limited in rural country towns compared to metropolitan areas in Western nations, and it is likely this situation is mirrored in China [65]. Second, those HCPs working in teaching hospitals may have more opportunity for continuing professional education programs, attendance at talks/seminars by international speakers and international exchanges; hence greater exposure to current evidence-based practices. Third, by virtue of co-location of clinical teams in tertiary centres, HCPs may more readily engage in interdisciplinary practice for management of LBP and therefore be exposed to more positive patient outcomes associated with this model of care compared to single-discipline practice. Fourth, the level of English amongst HCPs in Shanghai city is generally better than in the outskirts of Shanghai, which may be a barrier to accessing research literature published in English. However, the location of work was not associated with fear avoidance beliefs in HCPs with LBP. This may highlight the general work ethic of the Chinese, where they are generally not afraid of hard work nor pain and just "get on with it" [66].

In this study, back pain beliefs did not differ amongst different HCP groups unlike previous studies which used the same scales among students of different healthcare disciplines [29,35,67]. While there is evidence that back pain beliefs are different in different professional groups who treat LBP patients [31], direct comparisons cannot

² Joint Wald test for coefficients.

 $^{^{3}}$ contrast to '30-39 yrs' category = -3.4 (95% CI: -6.0 to -0.7, p = .013).

be made due to the use of different scales to assess these beliefs and the cross cultural differences between HCPs working in different countries.

Our study suggested that the level of academic qualification is also associated with fear avoidance beliefs. In this study, those with postgraduate degrees (master or doctoral degrees) had significantly lower FABQ-physical scores compared to those with secondary technical academic qualifications. No differences were observed in FABQ-physical scores among other educational categories, suggesting that a substantial variation in academic training is needed (secondary technical vs. postgraduate) before beliefs are mediated by education. The more positive FABQ-physical beliefs observed in postgraduate qualified HCPs may be attributed to their exposure to more contemporary evidence-based literature and/or resources as a result of their formal engagement in postgraduate studies/research which may have been attained locally or overseas.

In this study, Chinese HCPs with a history of LBP who reported higher LBP-related disability levels were estimated to be significantly more fear avoidant, a finding consistent with previous cross sectional and prospective studies which showed that fear avoidance beliefs are predictive of disability [68,69]. A prospective study involving a large cohort of female healthcare workers showed that high fear avoidance beliefs were predictive of work absenteeism [70]. The fear avoidance model proposes that when the experience of LBP is associated with negative LBP and/or fear avoidance beliefs, this can lead to avoidance of work, social or physical activities, setting up a vicious cycle of chronicity and disability [61,71,72]. Evidence points toward a biopsychosocial understanding of LBP, with a focus on fear reduction, self-management and adopting healthy lifestyle behaviours as being a more efficacious model of care of LBP [62]. An important component of this model is the beliefs system [73].

There are several studies investigating back pain beliefs and fear avoidance beliefs in general populations and in patients with chronic pain [21,24,68,69,74]. Information regarding these back pain beliefs in practicing HCPs is presently limited. Direct comparisons with previous studies are also difficult due to the lack of consistency in outcome measures [26,32,70,75].

The medical practitioners in this study had similar mean FABQ-work scores (16.8; SD 7.5) compared to French general practitioners (17.5; SD 6.7) [30] and rheumatologists (16.7; SD 6.9) [76], but Chinese medical practitioners had higher levels of fear avoidance beliefs related to physical activities than French GPs [30] (16.9; SD 4.9 vs 9.6; SD 4.8) and rheumatologists (16.9; SD 4.9 vs 9.2; SD 4.4) [76]. In contrast, a large cohort of Australian GPs (n = 2556) from various states across Australia had mean FABQ-physical scores ranging from 13.3

14.0, lower than the medical practitioners in this study [34]. That Australian-based study used a modified FABQ-work (summation of 6 items instead of 7 items) thus cannot be directly compared with this study [34].

Overall, the results of this study revealed that only a small proportion of variance in the dependent variables were explained in the multivariate models. This suggests that potentially more important correlates of the beliefs were not measured in this study. These factors may include the chronicity of pain [36], health literacy [24], experience in clinical practice specifically related to their frequency and exposure to the management of patients with LBP [29,40], their practice behavior (advice about work, activity and bedrest) [75], management approach to LBP and the aetiologic framework adopted to explain LBP [77], time off work due to their LBP [78] and the nature and types of formal and informal postgraduate education or professional training [34,40,73,79,80]. Future studies will need to consider these factors to gain a more comprehensive understanding of factors influencing Chinese HCPs back pain beliefs, especially those factors that are modifiable.

Additionally, due to the non-probability sampling used in this study, the results of this study may not be generalizable to all HCPs working in China. China is an expansive country with many provinces that have differing cultures, ethnic groups, socioeconomic status and health outcomes [3]. This study attempted to assess if different professional settings influenced back pain beliefs within two arbitrarily-defined geographic locations - urban and urban-rural mix. These hospitals and district community health centers were conveniently sampled due to an existing working relationship with the researchers involved with these sites. The convenience sampling used in this study may have also resulted in recruiting those who were more research aware or have inherent interests in LBP (being sufferers themselves). This may have accounted for the high percentage of questionnaires returned and also to potential responder bias. The total numbers of possible practicing HCPs for the various disciplines in each of the healthcare settings were not determined thus limiting the interpretation of the representativeness of this sample and any potential threats for responder bias. While the authors acknowledge that a convenience sample represents a nonrandom sample, and thus threatens the generalizability of the findings and increases the likelihood of responder biases, this pragmatic sampling approach was used for two reasons. First, undertaking epidemiologic research in China in a relatively unexplored area presented challenges with a multinational research team and without preestablished relationships between the researchers and the health facilities. Second, we believe this is the first study undertaken to understand the attitudes and beliefs in Chinese HCPs working in China, and thus we sought to

provide pragmatically-collected pilot data in this area to develop a framework for future epidemiologic investigations.

Evidence suggests that beliefs and attitude of HCPs influence their clinical management, the patients' beliefs and consequently treatment outcomes [27,40]. The present study was aimed at understanding the beliefs of HCPs related to their own LBP experience and their drivers, which is important for the development of professional education and supporting consumers in effective co-management. Future studies should include HCPs groups from different provinces in China and the practice behaviors of these HCPs for the management of LBP. The back beliefs and treatment outcomes of LBP patient groups in China will also need to be investigated.

Conclusions

This study found that beliefs and attitudes among Chinese HCPs related to their own LBP experience were independently associated with several factors. Younger Chinese HCPs were estimated to hold more negative beliefs and attitudes related to LBP, while HCPs working outside tertiary hospitals were estimated to have poorer beliefs concerning the inevitable consequences of LBP. Those HCPs who experienced LBP were estimated to have higher levels of fear avoidance beliefs when experiencing high LBP-related disability and lower levels of fear avoidance beliefs if they had completed postgraduate study.

Abbreviations

BBQ: Back Beliefs questionnaire; Cl: Confidence Interval; FABQ: Fear avoidance beliefs questionnaire; HCPs: Healthcare professionals; LBP: Low back pain; NMQ: Nordic musculoskeletal questionnaire; RMDQ: Roland Morris disability questionnaire; VAS: Visual analogue scale.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests, financial or non-financial.

Authors' contribution

BKT was responsible for the conception and design of the study, the data collection and analysis and the preparation of the manuscript. AS was responsible for the conception and design of the study, data analysis and the preparation of the manuscript. PO and AFB were responsible for the conception and design of the study and the preparation of the manuscript. CG was responsible for the design of the study, and data collection. AMB was responsible for the data analysis and the preparation of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgement

The authors gratefully acknowledge Xun Xiao Xiao and Jia Hongliang for their assistance in collecting data from the various sites in Shanghai China.

Financial support

This study was resourced through in kind contributions from the collaborating institutions. No external grants were procured to undertake the study.

Author details

¹International Health, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Curtin University, GPO Box U 1987, Perth, Western Australia 6845, Australia. ²School of Physiotherapy & Exercise Science, Curtin University, GPO Box U 1987, Perth, Western Australia 6845, Australia. ³School of Public Health, Fudan University, 138 Yi Xue Yuan Road, Shanghai 200032, PR China. ⁴School of Exercise and Health Sciences, Edith Cowan University, Joondalup, Western Australia,

Australia. ⁵ASPETAR, Qatar Orthopaedic and Sports Medicine Hospital, Doha, Qatar. ⁶Arthritis and Osteoporosis Victoria, PO Box 130, Caulfield South 3162, Victoria, Australia.

Received: 11 March 2014 Accepted: 17 July 2014 Published: 28 July 2014

References

- Deyo RA, Mirza SK, Turner JA, Martin Bl: Overtreating chronic back pain: time to back off? J Am Board Fam Med 2009, 22(1):62–68.
- Martin Bl, Deyo RA, Mirza SK, Turner JA, Comstock BA, Hollingworth W, Sullivan SD: Expenditures and health status among adults with back and neck problems. JAMA 2008, 299(6):656–664.
- Yang G, Wang Y, Zeng Y, Gao GF, Liang X, Zhou M, Wan X, Yu S, Jiang Y, Naghavi M, Vos T, Wang H, Lopez AD, Murray CJ: Rapid health transition in China, 1990–2010: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2013, 381(9882):1987–2015.
- Vos T, Flaxman AD, Naghavi M, Lozano R, Michaud C, Ezzati M, Shibuya K, Salomon JA, Abdalla S, Aboyans V, Abraham J, Ackerman I, Aggarwal R, Ahn SY, Ali MK, Alvarado M, Anderson HR, Anderson LM, Andrews KG, Atkinson C, Baddour LM, Bahalim AN, Barker-Collo S, Barrero LH, Bartels DH, Basanez MG, Baxter A, Bell ML, Benjamin EJ, Bennett D, et al: Years lived with disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and injuries 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2012, 380(9859):2163–2196.
- Murray CJ, Vos T, Lozano R, Naghavi M, Flaxman AD, Michaud C, Ezzati M, Shibuya K, Salomon JA, Abdalla S, Aboyans V, Abraham J, Ackerman I, Aggarwal R, Ahn SY, Ali MK, Alvarado M, Anderson HR, Anderson LM, Andrews KG, Atkinson C, Baddour LM, Bahalim AN, Barker-Collo S, Barrero LH, Bartels DH, Basanez MG, Baxter A, Bell ML, Benjamin EJ, et al: Disabilityadjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2012, 380(9859):2197–2223.
- Poiraudeau S, Rannou F, Le Henanff A, Coudeyre E, Rozenberg S, Huas D, Martineau C, Jolivet-Landreau I, Revel M, Ravaud P: Outcome of subacute low back pain: influence of patients' and rheumatologists' characteristics. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2006, 45(6):718–723.
- Rugelj D: Low back pain and other work-related musculoskeletal problems among physiotherapists. Appl Ergon 2003, 34:635–639.
- Smith D, Mihashi M, Adachi Y, Koga H, Ishitake T: A detailed analysis of musculoskeletal disorder risk factors among Japanese nurses. J Safety Res 2006. 37(2):195–200.
- Lin PH, Tsai YA, Chen WC, Huang SF: Prevalence, characteristics, and work-related risk factors of low back pain among hospital nurses in Taiwan: a cross-sectional survey. Int J Occup Med Environ Health 2012, 25(1):41–50
- Mitchell T, O'Sullivan PB, Burnett AF, Straker L, Rudd C: Low back pain characteristics from undergraduate student to working nurse in Australia: a cross-sectional survey. Int J Nurs Stud 2008, 45(11):1636–1644.
- Sikiru L, Hanifa S: Prevalence and risk factors of low back pain among nurses in a typical Nigerian hospital. Afr Health Sci 2010, 10(1):26–30.
- Chiou WK, Wong MK, Lee YH: Epidemiology of low back pain in Chinese nurses. Int J Nurs Stud 1994, 31(4):361–368.
- 13. June KJ, Cho S-H: Low back pain and work-related factors among nurses in intensive care units. *J Clin Nurs* 2011, **20**(3–4):479–487.
- Briggs AM, Bragge P, Smith AJ, Govil D, Straker LM: Prevalence and associated factors for thoracic spine pain in the adult working population: a literature review. J Occup Health 2009, 51(3):177–192.
- Schofield D, Kelly S, Shrestha R, Callander E, Passey M, Percival R: The impact of back problems on retirement wealth. Pain 2012, 153(1):203–210.
- Denis S, Shannon HS, Wessel J, Stratford P, Weller I: Association of low back pain, impairment, disability & work limitations in nurses. J Occup Rehabil 2007. 17(2):213–226.
- Stewart WF, Ricci JA, Chee E, Morganstein D, Lipton R: Lost productive time and cost due to common pain conditions in the US workforce. JAMA 2003, 290:2443–2454.
- Arthritis and Osteoporosis Victoria: A problem worth solving. Elsternwick: Arthritis and Osteoporosis Victoria; 2013.
- Koes BW, van Tulder MW, Ostelo R, Kim Burton A, Waddell G: Clinical guidelines for the management of low back pain in primary care: an

- **international comparison.** *Spine* 2001, **26**(22):2504–2513. discussion 2513–2504.
- Walsh NE, Brooks P, Hazes JM, Walsh RM, Dreinhofer K, Woolf AD, Akesson K, Lidgren L: Standards of care for acute and chronic musculoskeletal pain: the Bone and Joint Decade (2000–2010). Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2008, 89(9):1830–1845.
- Urquhart DM, Bell RJ, Cicuttini FM, Cui J, Forbes A, Davis SR: Negative beliefs about low back pain are associated with high pain intensity and high level disability in community-based women. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2008, 9:148.
- Fritz JM, George SZ, Delitto A: The role of fear-avoidance beliefs in acute low back pain: Relationships with current and future disability and work status. Pain 2001, 94:7–15.
- Waddell G, Newton M, Henderson I, Somerville D, Main CJ: A Fear-Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire (FABQ) and the role of fear-avoidance beliefs in chronic low back pain and disability. *Pain* 1993, 52:157–168.
- Briggs AM, Jordan JE, Buchbinder R, Burnett AF, O'Sullivan PB, Chua JY,
 Osborne RH, Straker LM: Health literacy and beliefs among a community
 cohort with and without chronic low back pain. Pain 2010, 150(2):275–283.
- Daykin AR, Richardson B: Physiotherapists' pain beliefs and their influence on the management of patients with chronic low back pain. Spine 2004, 29:783–795.
- Linton SJ, Vlaeyen J, Ostelo R: The back pain beliefs of health care providers: are we fear-avoidant? J Occup Rehabil 2002, 12:223–232.
- Darlow B, Fullen BM, Dean S, Hurley DA, Baxter GD, Dowell A: The
 association between health care professional attitudes and beliefs and
 the attitudes and beliefs, clinical management, and outcomes of patients
 with low back pain: a systematic review. Eur J Pain 2012, 16(1):3–17.
- Buchbinder R, Staples M, Jolley D: Doctors with a special interest in back pain have poorer knowledge about how to treat back pain. Spine 2009, 34(11):1218–1226. discussion 1227.
- Briggs AM, Slater H, Smith AJ, Parkin-Smith GF, Watkins K, Chua J: Low back pain-related beliefs and likely practice behaviours among final-year cross-discipline health students. Eur J Pain 2013, 17(5):766–775.
- Coudeyre E, Rannou F, Tubach F, Baron G, Coriat F, Brin S, Revel M, Poiraudeau S: General practitioners' fear-avoidance beliefs influence their management of patients with low back pain. Pain 2006, 124:330–337.
- Pincus T, Foster NE, Vogel S, Santos R, Breen A, Underwood M: Attitudes to back pain amongst musculoskeletal practitioners: a comparison of professional groups and practice settings using the ABS-mp. *Man Ther* 2007, 12(2):167–175.
- 32. Houben RM, Vlaeyen JW, Peters M, Ostelo RW, Wolters PM, Stomp-van den Berg SG: Health care providers' attitudes and beliefs towards common low back pain: factor structure and psychometric properties of the HC-PAIRS. Clin J Pain 2004, 20(1):37–44.
- 33. Vlaeyen JW, Linton SJ: Are we "fear-avoidant"? Pain 2006, 124:240–241.
- Buchbinder R, Jolley D, Wyatt M: Effects of a media campaign on back pain beliefs and its potential influence on management of low back pain in general practice. Spine 2001, 26:2535–2542.
- Burnett A, Sze CC, Tam SM, Yeung KM, Leong M, Wang W, Tan BK, O'Sullivan P: A cross-cultural study of the back pain beliefs of female undergraduate healthcare students. Clin J Pain 2009, 25(1):20–28.
- Briggs AM, Jordan JE, O'Sullivan PB, Buchbinder R, Burnett AF, Osborne RH, Straker LM: Individuals with chronic low back pain have greater difficulty in engaging in positive lifestyle behaviours than those without back pain: an assessment of health literacy. BMC Musculokelet Disord 2011, 12:161.
- Briggs AM, Slater H, Bunzli S, Jordan JE, Davies SJ, Smith AJ, Quintner JL: Consumers' experiences of back pain in rural Western Australia: access to information and services, and self-management behaviours. BMC Health Serv Res 2012, 12:357.
- Slater H, Briggs AM, Bunzli S, Davies SJ, Smith AJ, Quintner JL: Engaging consumers living in remote areas of Western Australia in the selfmanagement of back pain: a prospective cohort study. BMC Musculokelet Disord 2012, 13:69.
- Main CJ, Waddell G: Beliefs about back pain. In The back pain revolution. Edited by Waddell G. London: Churchill Livingstone; 2004:221–240.
- Main CJ, Foster N, Buchbinder R: How important are back pain beliefs and expectations for satisfactory recovery from back pain? Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2010, 24(2):205–217.
- 41. Chan B, Parker G: Some recommendations to assess depression in Chinese people in Australasia. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 2004, 38:141–147.

- 42. Chun KM, Chesla CA: Cultural issues in disease management for Chinese Americans with type 2 diabetes. *Psych Health* 2004, **19**:767–785.
- 43. Jones RS, Chow TW, Gatz M: Asian Americans and Alzheimer's disease: assimilation, culture, and beliefs. *J Aging Stud* 2006, **20**:11–25.
- 44. Fang ZZ: Potential of China in global nurse migration. Health Serv Res 2007, 42(3 Pt 2):1419–1428.
- Jin K, Sorock GS, Courtney T, Liang Y, Yao Z, Matz S, Ge L: Risk factors for work-related low back pain in the People's Republic of China. Int J Occup Environ Health 2000, 6(1):26–33.
- Jin K, Sorock GS, Courtney TK: Prevalence of low back pain in three occupational groups in Shanghai, People's Republic of China. J Safety Res 2004. 35(1):23–28.
- Barrero LH, Hsu YH, Terwedow H, Perry MJ, Dennerlein JT, Brain JD, Xu X: Prevalence and physical determinants of low back pain in a rural Chinese population. Spine 2006, 31(23):2728–2734.
- Yi H, Ji X, Wei X, Chen Z, Wang X, Zhu X, Zhang W, Chen J, Zhang D, Li M: Reliability and validity of Simplified Chinese version of Roland-Morris questionnaire in evaluating rural and urban patients with low back pain. PLoS One 2012, 7(1):e30807.
- Wang J, Ma J (Eds): Shanghai Statistical Yearbook. 1994–2013 China Academic Journal Electronic Publishing House; 2013. www.cnki.net.
- 50. Wang Y (Ed): 2012 Jin Shan Yearbook: Jin Shan Overview. 1994–2013 China Academic Journal Electronic Publishing House; 2012. www.cnki.net.
- Schwarz MR, Wojtczak A, Zhou T: Medical education in China's leading medical schools. Med Teach 2004, 26(3):215–222.
- Chen G, Tan BK, Jia HL, O'Sullivan P, Burnett A: Questionnaires to examine Back Pain Beliefs held by health care professionals: a psychometric evaluation of Simplified Chinese versions. Spine 2011, 36(18):1505–1511.
- Symonds TL, Burton AK, Tillotson KM, Main CJ: Do attitudes and beliefs influence work loss due to low back trouble? Occup Med (Lond) 1996, 46:25–32.
- Kuorinka I, Jonsson B, Kilbom A, Vinterberg H, Biering-Sorensen F, Andersson G, Jorgensen K: Standardised Nordic questionnaires for the analysis of musculoskeletal symptoms. Appl Ergon 1987, 18(3):233–237.
- Dawson AP, Steele EJ, Hodges PW, Stewart S: Development and test-retest reliability of an extended version of the Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire (NMQ-E): a screening instrument for musculoskeletal pain. J Pain 2009, 10(5):517–526.
- Ogon M, Krismer M, Sollner W, Kantner-Rumplmair W, Lampe A: Chronic low back pain measurement with visual analogue scales in different settings. Pain 1996, 64(3):425–428.
- Roland M, Fairbank J: The Roland-Morris disability questionnaire and the Oswestry disability questionnaire. Spine 2000, 25:3115–3124.
- Fan SW, Hu ZA, Hong H, Zhao FD: Cross-cultural adaptation and validation of Simplified Chinese version of the Roland-Morris Disability Ouestionnaire. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2012. 37(10):875.
- Turner JA, Franklin G, Fulton-Kehoe D, Sheppard L, Wickizer TM, Wu R, Gluck JV, Egan K: Worker recovery expectations and fear-avoidance predict work disability in a population-based workers' compensation back pain sample. Spine 2006, 31(6):682–689.
- George SZ, Fritz JM, McNeil DW: Fear-avoidance beliefs as measured by the fear-avoidance beliefs questionnaire: change in fear-avoidance beliefs questionnaire is predictive of change in self-report of disability and pain intensity for patients with acute low back pain. Clin J Pain 2006, 22:197–203.
- Smith AJ, O'Sullivan PB, Beales D, Straker L: Back pain beliefs are related to the impact of low back pain in 17-year-olds. Phys Ther 2012, 92(10):1258–1267.
- Chou R, Huffman LH, American Pain S, American College of P: Nonpharmacologic therapies for acute and chronic low back pain: a review of the evidence for an American Pain Society/American College of Physicians clinical practice guideline. *Ann Intern Med* 2007, 147(7):492–504.
- 63. Bowey-Morris J, Davis S, Purcell-Jones G, Watson PJ: Beliefs about back pain: results of a population survey of working age adults. *Clin J Pain* 2011, **27**(3):214–224.
- 64. Coudeyre E, Tubach F, Rannou F, Baron G, Coriat F, Brin S, Revel M, Poiraudeau S: Fear-avoidance beliefs about back pain in patients with acute LBP. Clin J Pain 2007, 23:720–725.
- Slater H, Briggs AM, Smith AJ, Bunzli S, Davies SJ, Quintner JL: Implementing Evidence-Informed Policy into Practice for Health Care

- Professionals Managing People with Low Back Pain in Australian Rural Settings: A Preliminary Prospective Single-Cohort Study. *Pain Med* 2014, doi:10.1111/pme.12351. [Epub ahead of print].
- Rarick CA: Confucius on Management: Understanding Chinese Cultural Values and Managerial Practices. J Int Manage Stud 2007, August:22–28.
- Ferreira PH, Ferreira ML, Latimer J, Maher CG, Refshauge K, Sakamoto A, Garofalo R: Attitudes and beliefs of Brazilian and Australian physiotherapy students towards chronic back pain: A cross-cultural comparison. Physiother Res Int 2004, 9:13–23.
- Sions JM, Hicks GE: Fear-avoidance beliefs are associated with disability in older American adults with low back pain. Phys Ther 2011, 91(4):525–534.
- Camacho-Soto A, Sowa GA, Perera S, Weiner DK: Fear avoidance beliefs predict disability in older adults with chronic low back pain. PM R 2012, 4(7):493–497.
- Jensen JN, Karpatschof B, Labriola M, Albertsen K: Do fear-avoidance beliefs play a role on the association between low back pain and sickness absence? A prospective cohort study among female health care workers. J Occup Environ Med 2010, 52(1):85–90.
- Rainville J, Smeets RJ, Bendix T, Tveito TH, Poiraudeau S, Indahl AJ: Fear-avoidance beliefs and pain avoidance in low back pain–translating research into clinical practice. Spine J 2011, 11(9):895–903.
- Vlaeyen JW, Linton SJ: Fear-avoidance model of chronic musculoskeletal pain: 12 years on. Pain 2012, 153(6):1144–1147.
- Domenech J, Sanchez-Zuriaga D, Segura-Orti E, Espejo-Tort B, Lison JF: Impact of biomedical and biopsychosocial training sessions on the attitudes, beliefs, and recommendations of health care providers about low back pain: a randomised clinical trial. *Pain* 2011, 152(11):2557–2563.
- Kovacs F, Abraira V, Cano A, Royuela A, Gil del Real MT, Gestoso M, Mufraggi N, Muriel A, Zamora J: Fear avoidance beliefs do not influence disability and quality of life in Spanish elderly subjects with low back pain. Spine 2007, 32(19):2133–2138.
- Bishop A, Foster NE, Thomas E, Hay EM: How does the self-reported clinical management of patients with low back pain relate to the attitudes and beliefs of health care practitioners? A survey of UK general practitioners and physiotherapists. *Pain* 2008, 135(1–2):187–195.
- Poiraudeau S, Rannou F, Baron G, Le Henanff A, Coudeyre E, Rozenberg S, Huas D, Martineau C, Jolivet-Landreau I, Garcia-Macé J, Revel M, Ravaud P: Fear-avoidance beliefs about back pain in patients with subacute low back pain. Pain 2006, 124:305–311.
- Kent PM, Keating JL, Buchbinder R: Searching for a conceptual framework for nonspecific low back pain. Man Ther 2009, 14(4):387–396.
- Gross DP, Ferrari R, Russell AS, Battié MC, Schopflocher D, Hu RW, Waddell G, Buchbinder R: A population-based survey of back pain beliefs in Canada. Spine 2006, 31:2142–2145.
- Buchbinder R, Jolley D: Improvements in general practitioner beliefs and stated management of back pain persist 4.5 years after the cessation of a public health media campaign. Spine 2007, 32:E156–E162.
- George SZ, Teyhen DS, Wu SS, Wright AC, Dugan JL, Yang G, Robinson ME, Childs JD: Psychosocial education improves low back pain beliefs: results from a cluster randomized clinical trial (NCT00373009) in a primary prevention setting. Eur Spine J 2009, 18(7):1050–1058.

doi:10.1186/1471-2474-15-255

Cite this article as: Tan et al.: Low back pain beliefs are associated to age, location of work, education and pain-related disability in Chinese healthcare professionals working in China: a cross sectional survey. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders 2014 15:255.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

