

EDITORIAL

Open Access



Navigation surgery in musculoskeletal disorders

Sandro Hodel¹ and Masashi Uehara^{2*}

Abstract

Background This collection focuses on Navigation Surgery, we aim to explore the intersection of cutting-edge technology and musculoskeletal surgery. It covers recent advancements, challenges, and future directions in navigational techniques. Navigation devices reconstruct 3D surgical information on monitors, aiding in safer and more accurate operations across orthopedic surgeons. While beneficial, there are risks like misplaced implants, necessitating careful navigation usage. The collection encourages discussions on clinical applications and the ongoing evolution of navigation surgeries.

Keywords Navigation surgery, Musculoskeletal surgery, Benefit, Pitfall

Background

In this collection focused on Navigation Surgery, we aim to explore the intersection of cutting-edge technology and musculoskeletal surgery, highlighting advancements, challenges, and future directions in navigational techniques. Recent developments in navigation equipment have made it possible to perform various surgical operations more safely and accurately. Surgery using navigation devices reconstructs 3D information of the surgical field as a virtual space on the monitor, by using patient-specific-instrumentation (PSI) or augmented reality allowing the surgeon to recognize information that cannot be confirmed from the surgical field in the virtual space while performing the operation [1]. In orthopedic surgery, it is widely applied in the fields of joint replacement, reconstructive, trauma, spine surgery and oncologic surgery. Navigation has been of great benefit to the medical field,

starting with preoperative surgical planning [2], improving safety and accuracy during surgery [3], and enabling minimally invasive surgery [4], allowing intraoperative image control [5], as well as reducing radiation exposure to patients and surgeons [6]. More recently, the combination of robotics and navigation has been reported to be more accurate in surgery, and technological advances in this field continue unabated [7].

On the other hand, even if navigation is used, there is a risk of misplaced implants if accuracy is not ensured due to distance from the reference frame [8]. We need to be very careful not to fall into the pitfalls inherent in navigation [9]. It is also acknowledged that there is a learning curve in navigation, indicating that experience is necessary to improve accuracy.

Furthermore, screw insertion using patient-specific templates created with recently developed 3D printing technology is now being used in clinical practice, resulting in high screw insertion accuracy and reduced radiation exposure [10]. Because it does not require the purchase of an expensive navigation system, this tool can be easily introduced at many facilities and is attracting attention.

*Correspondence:

Masashi Uehara
masashi_u560613@yahoo.co.jp

¹Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Balgrist University Hospital, Zurich, Switzerland

²Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Shinshu University School of Medicine, 3-1-1 Asahi, Matsumoto, Nagano 390-8621, Japan



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

This collection solicits a wide range of current topics and issues in the field related to navigation surgery in musculoskeletal surgery. We also ask that you discuss the potential clinical applications of this technology and discuss the further developments of navigation surgeries.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author contributions

S.H. and M.U. drafted the paper; all authors revised the paper critically for intellectual content and gave final approval of the version to be published; all authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding

Not applicable.

Data availability

No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent participate

Not relevant.

Consent for publication

Not relevant.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 2 August 2024 / Accepted: 10 October 2024

Published online: 15 October 2024

References

1. Fucentese SF, Meier P, Jud L, et al. Accuracy of 3D-planned patient specific instrumentation in high tibial open wedge valgisation osteotomy. *J Exp Orthop*. 2020;7:7.
2. Hodel S, Hasler J, Roth TA, et al. Validation of a three-Dimensional Weight-Bearing Measurement Protocol for Medial Open-Wedge High Tibial Osteotomy. *J Clin Med*. 2024;13:1280.
3. Jud L, Vlachopoulos L, Beeler S, et al. Accuracy of three dimensional-planned patient-specific instrumentation in femoral and tibial rotational osteotomy for patellofemoral instability. *Int Orthop*. 2020;44:1711–7.
4. Huang CC, Fitts J, Huie D, et al. Evolution of cervical endoscopic spine surgery: current progress and future Directions-A narrative review. *J Clin Med*. 2024;13:2122.
5. Esfandiari H, Troxler P, Hodel S, et al. Introducing a brain-computer interface to facilitate intraoperative medical imaging control - a feasibility study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2022;23:701.
6. Prost M, Taday R, Beyersdorf CCP, et al. Navigation versus fluoroscopy in minimalinvasive iliosacral screw placement. *J Orthop Surg Res*. 2024;19:185.
7. Matur AV, Palmisciano P, Duah HO, et al. Robotic and navigated pedicle screws are safer and more accurate than fluoroscopic freehand screws: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Spine J*. 2023;23:197–208.
8. Uehara M, Takahashi J, Ikegami S, et al. Are pedicle screw perforation rates influenced by distance from the reference frame in multilevel registration using a computed tomography-based navigation system in the setting of scoliosis? *Spine J*. 2017;17:499–504.
9. Suter D, Hodel S, Liebmann F, et al. Factors affecting augmented reality head-mounted device performance in real OR. *Eur Spine J*. 2023;32:3425–33.
10. Farshad M, Spirig JM, Winkler E, et al. Template guided cervical pedicle screw instrumentation. *N Am Spine Soc J*. 2022;10:100120.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.