

CORRECTION

Open Access



# Correction: Evaluation of the efficacy of trigger points combined with extracorporeal shock waves in the treatment of plantar fasciitis: heel temperature and plantar pressure

Bo Wang<sup>1†</sup>, Xiao-Lei Wang<sup>1†</sup>, Yan-Tao Ma<sup>1</sup>, Wei Wu<sup>2\*†</sup> and Yong-Jun Zheng<sup>1\*†</sup>

**Correction:** *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders* 25, 191 (2024)

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-024-07296-2>

fasciitis: heel temperature and plantar pressure. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*. 2024;25:191. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-024-07296-2>.

Following publication of the original article [1], the authors mistakenly used incorrect symbol "T" instead of the correct symbol "t" under Peer group in Tables 2, 4, and 5. The corrected tables are given below.

The original article [1] has been corrected.

Published online: 15 July 2024

## Reference

1. Wang B, Wang XL, Ma YT, et al. Evaluation of the efficacy of trigger points combined with extracorporeal shock waves in the treatment of plantar

<sup>†</sup>Bo Wang and Xiao-Lei Wang authors contributed equally to this work and share first authorship.

<sup>†</sup>Yong-Jun Zheng and Wei Wu contributed equally to this study.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-024-07296-2>.

\*Correspondence:

Wei Wu

wuwe1@sus.edu.cn

Yong-Jun Zheng

zhengyongjun1971@126.com

<sup>1</sup> Department of Pain Management, Huadong Hospital Affiliated to Fudan University, 221 West Yan'an RD, Shanghai, China

<sup>2</sup> Department of Elite Sport, School of Athletic Performance, Shanghai University of Sport, 188 Hengren RD, Shanghai, China



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

**Table 2** NRS scores during treatment in both groups ( $n=80$ , mean  $\pm$  SD)

Index	Peer group	Pretreatment baseline	Posttreatment		F, P (time)	F, P (between groups)	F, P (interaction)
			6 week	12 week			
Overall NRS score	Control group ( $n=40$ )	7.13 $\pm$ 0.69	3.65 $\pm$ 0.86*	4.18 $\pm$ 0.78*#	F = 318.328, P < 0.001	F = 20.507, P < 0.001	F = 5.452, P = 0.006
	Test group ( $n=40$ )	7.08 $\pm$ 0.80	2.95 $\pm$ 0.78*&	3.3 $\pm$ 0.91*#&			
	t	0.301	3.798	4.611			
	P	0.764	< 0.001	< 0.001			
Heel pain at first step	Control group ( $n=40$ )	7.30 $\pm$ 0.76	3.73 $\pm$ 0.85*	3.93 $\pm$ 0.83* $\Delta$	F = 999.165, P < 0.001	F = 13.438, P = 0.001	F = 7.724, P = 0.001
	Test group ( $n=40$ )	7.28 $\pm$ 0.82	3.03 $\pm$ 0.73*&	3.13 $\pm$ 0.79*# $\Delta$			
	t	0.142	3.952	4.418			
	P	0.887	< 0.001	< 0.001			
Heel pain with daily activities	Control group ( $n=40$ )	7.20 $\pm$ 0.79	3.48 $\pm$ 0.78*	3.98 $\pm$ 0.77*#	F = 1058.978, P < 0.001	F = 11.152, P < 0.001	F = 8.431, P < 0.001
	Test group ( $n=40$ )	7.23 $\pm$ 0.66	2.88 $\pm$ 0.69*&	3.25 $\pm$ 0.87*#&			
	t	-0.154	3.642	3.953			
	P	0.878	< 0.001	< 0.001			

\* indicates  $p < 0.001$  compared to pretreatment, # indicates  $p < 0.05$  compared to 6 weeks posttreatment;  $\Delta$  indicates  $p > 0.05$  compared to 6 weeks posttreatment; & indicates  $p < 0.001$  compared to the control group

**Table 4** Comparison of the heel temperatures between the two groups ( $n=80$ , mean  $\pm$  SD)

Index	Peer group	Pretreatment baseline	Posttreatment		F,P (time)	F,P (between groups)	F,P (interaction)
			6 week	12 week			
T1(°C)	Control group (n=40)	28.37 $\pm$ 0.88	27.9 $\pm$ 0.89*	28.08 $\pm$ 0.89*#	F = 928.892, P < 0.001	F = 24.761, P < 0.001	F = 158.098, P < 0.001
	Test group (n=40)	28.35 $\pm$ 0.97	27.33 $\pm$ 1.02*#&	27.44 $\pm$ 0.99*#&			
	t	0.101	2.658	3.037			
	P	0.920	0.010	0.003			
T2(°C)	Control group (n=40)	27.99 $\pm$ 0.91	27.31 $\pm$ 0.91*	27.58 $\pm$ 0.91*#	F = 623.046, P < 0.001	F = 13.064, P = 0.001	F = 69.790, P < 0.001
	Test group (n=40)	28.02 $\pm$ 0.87	26.79 $\pm$ 0.81*#&	27.01 $\pm$ 0.81*#&			
	t	-0.182	2.723	2.960			
	P	0.856	0.008	0.004			
T3(°C)	Control group (n=40)	27.92 $\pm$ 0.88	27.12 $\pm$ 0.86*	27.33 $\pm$ 0.88*#	F = 943.214, P < 0.001	F = 14.304, P < 0.001	F = 80.134, P < 0.001
	Test group (n=40)	27.96 $\pm$ 0.98	26.6 $\pm$ 0.96*#&	26.78 $\pm$ 0.90*#&			
	t	-0.207	2.533	2.776			
	P	0.836	0.013	0.007			
T4(°C)	Control group (n=40)	28.03 $\pm$ 0.85	27.75 $\pm$ 0.79*	27.86 $\pm$ 0.83*#	F = 332.332, P < 0.001	F = 16.280, P < 0.001	F = 106.332, P < 0.001
	Test group (n=40)	28.08 $\pm$ 0.93	27.24 $\pm$ 0.87*#&	27.33 $\pm$ 0.90*#&			
	t	-0.255	2.769	2.747			
	P	0.800	0.007	0.007			
T5(°C)	Control group (n=40)	28.55 $\pm$ 0.88	27.84 $\pm$ 0.84*	28.06 $\pm$ 0.87*#	F = 4470.958, P < 0.001	F = 21.664, P < 0.001	F = 345.050, P < 0.001
	Test group (n=40)	28.59 $\pm$ 0.91	27.31 $\pm$ 0.88*#&	27.33 $\pm$ 0.90*#&			
	t	-0.208	2.777	3.007			
	P	0.836	0.007	0.007			

\* indicates  $p < 0.001$  compared to pretreatment, # indicates  $p < 0.05$  compared to 6 weeks posttreatment; & indicates  $p < 0.05$  compared to the control group

**Table 5** Plantar pressure in both groups ( $n = 80$ , mean  $\pm$  SD)

Index	Peer group	Pretreatment baseline	Posttreatment 6 week
Forefoot-Mean Static plantar Pressure (gr/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Control group ( $n = 40$ )	307.95 $\pm$ 58.06	285.18 $\pm$ 58.87
	Test group ( $n = 40$ )	311.23 $\pm$ 59.73	279.80 $\pm$ 53.18
	t	-0.249	-0.429
	P	0.804	0.669
hindfoot-Mean Static plantar Pressure (gr/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Control group ( $n = 40$ )	344.43 $\pm$ 51.53	372.93 $\pm$ 51.52
	Test group ( $n = 40$ )	347.50 $\pm$ 52.95	380.63 $\pm$ 47.80
	t	-0.263	-0.693
	P	0.793	0.490
Forefoot- Maximum Static plantar Pressure (gr/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Control group ( $n = 40$ )	594.85 $\pm$ 69.79	537.15 $\pm$ 86.77
	Test group ( $n = 40$ )	597.73 $\pm$ 70.09	520.05 $\pm$ 81.07
	t	-0.184	0.911
	P	0.855	0.365
Hindfoot- Maximum Static plantar Pressure (gr/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Control group ( $n = 40$ )	605.73 $\pm$ 69.61	637.43 $\pm$ 82.00
	Test group ( $n = 40$ )	608.8 $\pm$ 70.32	657.85 $\pm$ 77.94
	t	-0.197	-1.142
	P	0.845	0.257
Dynamic medial load(%)	Control group ( $n = 40$ )	17.12 $\pm$ 4.69	16.08 $\pm$ 4.43
	Test group ( $n = 40$ )	17.33 $\pm$ 5.08	15.37 $\pm$ 4.40
	t	-0.191	-0.006
	P	0.849	0.995
Dynamic lateral load(%)	Control group ( $n = 40$ )	13.77 $\pm$ 3.73	15.08 $\pm$ 3.82
	Test group ( $n = 40$ )	13.78 $\pm$ 4.58	15.97 $\pm$ 3.99
	t	0.719	-1.022
	P	0.474	0.310