Open Access



Is fast reversal and early surgery (within 24 h) in patients on warfarin medication with trochanteric hip fractures safe? A case-control study

Leif Mattisson^{1*}, Lasse J. Lapidus¹ and Anders Enocson^{1,2}

Abstract

Background: Hip fracture patients in general are elderly and they often have comorbidities that may necessitate anticoagulation treatment, such as warfarin. It has been emphasized that these patients benefit from surgery without delay to avoid complications and reduce mortality. This creates a challenge for patients on warfarin and especially for those with trochanteric or subtrochanteric hip fractures treated with intramedullary nailing, as this is associated with increased bleeding compared to other types of hip fractures and surgical methods. The aim of the study was to evaluate if early surgery (within 24 h) of trochanteric or subtrochanteric hip fractures using intramedullary nailing is safe in patients on warfarin treatment after fast reversal of the warfarin effect.

Methods: A retrospective case-control study including 198 patients: 99 warfarin patients and 99 patients without anticoagulants as a 1:1 ratio control group matched for age, gender and surgical implant. All patients were operated within 24 h with a cephalomedullary nail due to a trochanteric or subtrochanteric hip fracture. All patients on warfarin were reversed if necessary to INR \leq 1.5 before surgery using vitamin K and/or four-factor prothrombin complex concentrate (PCC). Per- and postoperative data, transfusion rates, adverse events and mortality was compared.

Results: There were no significant differences in the calculated blood-loss, in-house adverse events or mortality (in-house, 30-day or 1-year) between the groups. There were no significant differences in the pre- or peroperative transfusions rates, but there was an increased rate of postoperative transfusions in the control group (p = 0.02).

Conclusion: We found that surgical treatment with intramedullary nailing within 24 h of patients with trochanteric or subtrochanteric hip fractures on warfarin medication after reversing its effect to $INR \le 1.5$ using vitamin K and/or PCC is safe.

Keywords: Hip fracture, Surgical treatment, Warfarin, Anticoagulation treatment, Intramedullary nailing

Background

In Sweden about 1.8% of the population is on warfarin medication [1]. Despite a current trend in favour of using Novel Oral Anticoagulants (NOAKs), warfarin is still the most widely prescribed oral anticoagulant for indications such as mechanical heart valves, atrial fibrillation or high grade renal insufficiency and thromboembolic

disorder [2, 3]. Hip fracture patients in general are elderly and often have comorbidities that may necessitate anticoagulation treatment, such as warfarin. Now days it is recommended that hip fracture patients should be operated without delay to reduce postoperative complications and mortality [4]. This creates a challenge when surgical treatment of the hip fracture is necessary and the patient is on warfarin medication. It is especially relevant for the management of patients with trochanteric or subtrochanteric hip fractures treated with intramedullary nailing, as these fractures are associated with increased bleeding compared to other types of hip fractures and surgical methods [5, 6].



© The Author(s). 2018 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: leif.mattisson@sll.se

¹Department of Clinical Science and Education, Stockholm South General Hospital, Karolinska Institutet, Unit of Orthopaedics, S-118 83 Stockholm, Sweden

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

One of the strategies to reduce the bleeding in these patients is to temporary postpone the warfarin administration and then wait until the anticoagulation effect of the warfarin has subsided, measured as a normal (non-therapeutic) level of the International Normalized Ratio (INR) [7]. However, this may take up to 4 days [8] and according to previous literature this delay can explain up to 8% of all hip fracture surgical delays [9]. Another faster strategy is reversing the effect of the warfarin using vitamin K or four-factor prothrombin complex concentrate (PCC). This may allow surgery without major delay [10]. However, little is published in the literature on this topic and most of the authors who have studied the surgical management of patients on warfarin do not differentiate between different types of hip fractures or surgical methods [7, 11].

The aim of the study was to evaluate if early surgery (within 24 h) of trochanteric or subtrochanteric hip fractures treated with intramedullary nailing is safe in patients on warfarin treatment after fast reversal of the warfarin effect.

Methods

All patients on warfarin medication operated at our institution with an intramedullary nail due to a trochanteric or a subtrochanteric hip fracture from January 2011 to December 2014 were identified. To be included in the study patients should be: > 60 years of age, have sustained an acute non-pathological trochanteric or subtrochanteric femoral fracture due to a low-energy trauma without other injuries demanding acute surgery or causing major bleeding. Only patients operated within 24 h, calculated from the hospital admission to the start of the surgery were selected. Patients with late presentation to the hospital (> 24 h from injury) were excluded.

A 1:1 ratio control group matched for age, gender and surgical implant (long or short nail), operated within 24 h, was identified. These patients were operated at our institution during the same time period due to non-pathological trochanteric or subtrochanteric fractures after low-energy trauma and had no anticoagulation medication at all.

Patients' records were searched in order to find information including demographic data, medication, preand postoperative data and adverse events occurring during the hospital stay. Mortality data was obtained from the Swedish national Cause of Death Register. Follow-up time was 1 year. Calculation of blood-loss was based on the haemoglobin (Hb) level (g/dL) and the estimated blood volume (BV). The latter was calculated according to gender, weight and height using the formulae [12]: BV (l) = height (m)³ × 0.356 + weight (kg) × 0.033 + 0.183 for women, and BV (l) = height (m)³ × 0.367 + weight (kg) × 0.032 + 0.604 for men. In assumption that the BV on day 2–4 after surgery was the same as that

before surgery and that all the red blood cell (RBC) transfusion units contained the same number of cells (a unit of RBC contains approximately 250 ml and 45 g Hb). The loss of Hb (in grams) was then estimated according to the formula: Hb _{loss} = BV × (Hb _{adm} – Hb _{fin}) + Hb _{trans}. The Hb _{loss} is the calculated total Hb loss (g), Hb _{adm} is the haemoglobin value (g/dL) on admission, Hb _{fin} is the final recorded Hb value (g/dL) on day 2–4 after surgery, and Hb _{trans} is the total amount of haemoglobin (g) in the transfused RBC units before the measurement of Hb _{fin}. We finally estimated the Blood loss (BL) using the following formula: BL (mL) =1000 × (Hb _{loss} / Hb _{adm}).

Before the operation all patients on warfarin with an INR > 1.5 were reversed to \leq 1.5 using vitamin K (Konakion[®]), four-factor prothrombin complex concentrate (PCC) (Ocplex[®]) or both. All operations were performed on a radiolucent traction table and spinal anaesthesia was the standard method for anaesthesia. Implants used were a short, or a long, Gamma3 cephalomedullary nail (Stryker Howmedica, Kalamazoo, MI, USA). Cloxacillin 2 g administered within 30 min before the operation was used as antibiotic prophylactics. Starting on the evening after the operation, a minimum of 2 h after wound closure, low molecular-weight heparin (5000 U dalteparin or 4500 U tinzaparin) was given subcutaneously once daily as thromboprophylactics. Thromboprophylactic therapy was continued until INR was on a therapeutic level for the warfarin patients and for 4 weeks for the control patients. No strict transfusion protocol was used, but the patients were given RBC transfusion based on their current Hb level and a cut off level of 10 g/dL was mostly used. However, the decision whether to transfuse or not was always made on an individual basis with consideration of several factors such as ongoing cardiac disease, blood pressure and other factors were taken into consideration in addition to the Hb value. Patients were mobilised the day after the surgery using necessary walking aids and usually allowed full weight-bearing.

Statistical methods

The Mann-Whitney U-test was used for comparisons of nonparametric variables in independent groups. The Student's t-test was used for comparisons of normally distributed variables in independent groups. Normality was tested with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Nominal variables were tested by the Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. All tests were two-sided. The results were considered significant at p < 0.05. The statistical software used was IBM SPSS Statistics, Version 23 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois).

Results

A total of 198 patients were included in the study: 99 patients on warfarin and 99 patients in the matched

control group without anticoagulants. Age, gender and implant type were similar between the groups, as these were the matching variables. The warfarin patients in general had an impaired state of health compared with the control patients, displayed as a lower number of patients with ASA class 1-2 (n = 5 versus 18, p = 0.007) and a higher mean (±SD) Charlson comorbidity index $(5.4 \pm 1.3 \text{ versus } 5.0 \pm 1.2, p = 0.1)$. The warfarin patients also had a higher mean (\pm SD) weight (69 \pm 14 versus 64 \pm 12 kg, p = 0.02), but similar height. All patients were operated within 24 h after admission, but the mean (±SD) time to surgery was shorter for the control group $(14 \pm 5.6 \text{ h})$ compared to the warfarin patients $(16 \pm 4.8 \text{ h})$ (p = 0.04). All patients except one, (a warfarin patient), was operated under spinal anesthesia. There was no difference in the time of surgery between the groups. Details on patient demographics and surgical data are given in Table 1.

Warfarin patients

The most common indications for warfarin treatment were: atrial fibrillation (n = 55/99), atrial fibrillation with previous stroke (n = 21/99) or previous embolism (n = 7/99) (Table 2). One warfarin patient was treated with low-dose ASA (75 mg) and one patient with dipyridamol in addition. No other anticoagulants were used by the warfarin patients. The initial mean (±SD, range) INR of the warfarin patients was 2.5 (±0.6,

Table 1	Demographic	and surgical	data for	all patients

1.2–4.4). Before the surgery patients with INR > 1.5 were reversed to \leq 1.5 using vitamin K (*n* = 33/99), PCC (*n* = 14/99) or both (*n* = 45/99). No plasma was used for reversing the warfarin effect (Table 2).

Adverse events and mortality

The total number of adverse events was 58: 27 in the warfarin group and 31 in the control group (p = 0.6). The most common adverse event was a urinary tract infection (n = 28), followed by a pressure ulcer (n =20) or a pneumonia (n = 16), a myocardial infarction (n = 1) in warfarin group and a stroke (n = 1) in control group, no other thromboembolic disorders, such as pulmonary embolism or deep venous thrombosis, were reported in any group. There were no differences in the numbers of the different types of adverse events between the groups (Table 3). The total in-house mortality was 3.5% (n = 7/198), the total 30-day mortality 8.1% (n = 16/198) and the total 1-year mortality 26% (n = 52/198). There were no differences between the groups when comparing in-house, 30-day or 1-year mortality (Table 3). The mean (±SD, range) length of stay was 4.9 (±2.6, 1-15) days for the warfarin patients and 4.9 (± 2.6 , 1–16) days for the control group (p = 0.9). There was no difference in number of the re-admissions within 30 days between the warfarin patients (9.1%, n = 9/99) and the control group (16%, n = 16/99) (p = 0.2).

	Warfarin patients ($n = 99$)	Control group ($n = 99$)	P-value
Age (years), mean (±SD)	86 (7.4)	86 (7.1)	0.8
Gender, female n (%)	69 (70)	69 (70)	1.0
Height (cm), mean (±SD)	167 (10)	166 (10)	0.3
Weight (kg), mean (±SD)	69 (14)	64 (12)	0.02
Charlson comorbidity index, mean (±SD)	5.4 (1.3)	5.0 (1.2)	0.1
ASA class 1 or 2, n (%)	5 (5.1)	18 (18)	0.007
Fracture type			
Trochanteric, n (%)	68 (69)	66 (67)	0.9
Subtrochanteric, n (%)	31 (31)	33 (33)	
Implant type			
Short nail, n (%)	66 (67)	66 (67)	1.0
Long nail, n (%)	33 (33)	33 (33)	
Time to surgery (hours), mean (±SD)	16 (4.8)	14 (5.6)	0.04
Anaesthesia type			
Spinal, n (%)	98 (99)	99 (100)	1.0
General, n (%)	1 (1.0)	0	
Time of surgery (min), mean (±SD)	65 (16)	64 (14)	0.8

ASA = American Society of Anesthesiologists

Table 2 Details on warfarin patients

Indication for warfarin	n (%)
Atrial fibrillation	55 (56)
Atrial fibrillation with previous stroke	21 (21)
Previous embolism	7 (7.1)
Mechanical valve replacement	6 (6.1)
Atrial fibrillation with biological valve replacement	4 (4.0)
Atrial fibrillation with ischemic heart disease	3 (3.0)
Previous stroke	3 (3.0)
Methods for reversing warfarin preoperative	
Vitamin K + PCC	45 (46)
Vitamin K	33 (3.0)
PCC	14 (14)
None	7 (7.1)

PCC = four-factor prothrombin complex concentrate

Transfusions and blood-loss

The mean (±SD) preoperative (on arrival) Hb was lower in the control group $(12.3 \pm 1.5 \text{ g/dL})$ compared to the warfarin group $(12.8 \pm 1.6 \text{ g/dL})$ (p = 0.03) as was the mean (\pm SD) postoperative Hb (9.9 \pm 1.4 g/dL) compared to $(10.4 \pm 1.2 \text{ g/dL})$ (*p* = 0.01). There were no differences in the late (day 2-4) Hb or the calculated blood-loss between the groups (Table 4). The total rate of patients given any RBC transfusion was 65% (n = 128/198). There was a greater proportion of control group patients who received postoperative transfusions (71%, n = 70/99) compared to warfarin patients (54%, n = 53/99) (p = 0.02). There were no differences in the pre- or intraoperative transfusion rates, or the mean total number of units given between the groups (Table 4). Four patients, 3 in the warfarin and 1 in the control group, were given plasma postoperatively.

Table 3 Adverse event	nts and	mortality	for all	patients
-----------------------	---------	-----------	---------	----------

Discussion

The major finding of this study was that patients with trochanteric and subtrochanteric hip fractures on warfarin and operated within 24 h with an intramedullary nail after fast reversal of the warfarin effect displayed no increased risk for adverse events or increased mortality compared to a matched control group of patients without anticoagulant medication.

Now days most treatment guidelines, local or national, advocate early surgery for hip fracture patients [4, 13-17]. However, this endeavor for early surgery creates a challenge when the patient is on warfarin medication. Lawrence et al. in 2016 [18] showed an association between warfarin therapy with prolonged time to surgery (mean 46 h versus 24 h for the control group), and an increased 1-year mortality rate in hip fracture patients. In an observational study by Dettoni et al. in 2011 [19] including 875 consecutive hip fracture patients they reported that the presence of two critical factors: warfarin therapy and a delay in time to surgery, was associated with a significantly higher risk for complications and mortality compared to all other patients. They concluded that the "discontinue drug and delay surgery" strategy is not suitable for hip fracture patients on warfarin therapy. Obviously, the choice of the way to overcome the anticoagulation effect of the warfarin will influence and sometimes delay the time to surgery. Instead of passively wait until the warfarin effect has faded out, a more active approach can be used. Bhatia et al. in 2010 [20] described that using intravenous vitamin K is a safe and effective treatment to avoid delay in the treatment in this group of patients. Despite this statement they still reported at least 2 days (mean 38 h) delay to surgery in the warfarin group. Similarly, Moores et al. in 2015 [21] described that using

	Warfarin patients ($n = 99$)	Control group ($n = 99$)	P-value
Myocardial infarction, <i>n</i> (%)	1 (1.0)	0	1.0
Stroke, <i>n</i> (%)	0	1 (1.0)	1.0
Pulmonary embolism, n (%)	0	0	
Deep venous thrombosis, n (%)	0	0	
Sepsis, n (%)	0	1 (1.0)	1.0
Pneumonia, n (%)	6 (6.1)	10 (10)	0.4
Urinary tract infection, n (%)	14 (14)	14 (14)	1.0
Pressure ulcer, n (%)	10 (10)	10 (10)	1.0
Any adverse event, <i>n</i> (%)	27 (27)	31 (31)	0.6
In-house mortality, <i>n</i> (%)	5 (5.1)	2 (2.0)	0.4
30-day mortality, <i>n</i> (%)	9 (9.1)	7 (7.1)	0.8
1-year mortality, <i>n</i> (%)	26 (26)	26 (26)	1.0

	Warfarin patients ($n = 99$)	Control group ($n = 99$)	P-value
Preoperative Hb (g/dL), mean (±SD)	12.8 (1.6)	12.3 (1.5)	0.03
Postoperative Hb (g/dL), mean (±SD)	10.4 (1.2)	9.9 (1.4)	0.06
Day 2–4 Hb (g/dL), mean (±SD)	10.7 (1.1)	10.7 (1.0)	0.7
Calculated blood loss (ml), mean (±SD)	1306 (960)	1285 (588)	0.5
Patients given preoperative transfusion, n (%)	5 (5.1)	1 (1.0)	0.2
Patients given intraoperative transfusion, n (%)	8 (8.1)	12 (12)	0.5
Patients given postoperative transfusion, n (%)	53 (54)	70 (71)	0.02
Patients given any ^a transfusion, n (%)	56 (57)	72 (73)	0.03
Transfusions per transfused patient, mean $(\pm SD, range)$	2.8 (2.8, 1–19)	2.8 (1.4, 1–8)	0.2

 Table 4 Blood-loss and transfusions for all patients

^aPatients given transfusion pre- and/or intra- and/or postoperative

vitamin K is a safe method but they still had a time to surgery of 36–48 h in their study. Another option is administration of PCC which shows an effect within 30 min that lasts for at least 6 h. The co-administration of vitamin K will give a stable and rapid reversal of anticoagulation and prevent rebound increases in INR [22, 23]. In our study, almost all the warfarin patients were actively reversed preoperatively and the combination of vitamin K and PCC was the most commonly used method. As we found no statistical difference in the calculated blood loss, no increase in transfusion rate and no decrease in Hb level in the warfarin group we conclude that using vitamin K and/or PCC as mentioned above minimize bleeding.

As known from other studies the extra-capsular (trochanteric and subtrochanteric) hip fractures, which are especially studied in this paper, are associated with increased bleeding tendency in comparison with the intra-capsular hip fractures [24, 25]. In addition, other studies found that intramedullary nailing compared with other surgical techniques was associated with an increased rate of RBC transfusions [6, 26, 27]. In 2006 Foss et al. [6] found that the median calculated total peri-operative blood loss in patients that had undergone intramedullary nailing was increased compared to patients that were operated with arthroplasty due to a hip fracture (1.86 L versus 1.30 L, respectively). Similarly, in a recent study by Cohn et al. in 2017 [28] they found significantly lower preoperative Hb in patients undergoing intra medullary nailing (extra-capsular fractures) and a greater blood loss in the warfarin group compared with a control group (1.22 L versus 1.19 L) but this was not statistically significant. In contrast we found no difference in the calculated blood-loss when comparing warfarin patients with the control patients. One reason could be that we used a more active approach when reversing the warfarin effect that resulted in a pronounced shorter time to surgery in comparison with Cohn et al. (mean 16 versus 47 h). Cohn et al. furthermore reported that their warfarin patients were delayed to surgery (mean 29 versus 47 h) and had a longer hospital stay (mean 5.6 versus 8.6 days) when comparing control patients with warfarin patients. This indicates the superiority of using vitamin K and/or PCC to reduce the INR level to ≤ 1.5 and operate these patients without delay within 24 h.

We found no difference in the frequency of adverse events or mortality up to 1 year between warfarin patients and the control group. This is in line with Cohn et al. but unfortunately, they do not report mortality beyond the discharge from the hospital.

Somewhat to our surprise we found a lower postoperative RBC transfusion rate in the warfarin group. We speculate that this is because a prolonged effect of the reversing agents administered preoperatively. We found a high transfusion rate in both the warfarin and control cohorts. However, this is in line with other published literature such as Desai et al. [5] who found that 52% of intertrochanteric fractures treated with an intramedullary nail were transfused. In addition Fazal et al. [29] recently reported a transfusion rate of 53% in 79 patients who underwent nailing surgery and among those the transfusion rate was 72% for the 32 patients that were treated with a long nail. Finally, Boone et al. [30] reported a transfusion rate of 57% and 40% for long and short nails respectively.

One obvious limitation of this study is its retrospective design. Ideally this topic should be investigated within the context of a randomized controlled trial. However, the current knowledge and opinion would hardly ethically allow a study that compared early and late surgery in these fragile patients. Another limitation is that we lack information on late complications (after hospital discharge). However, this is to some extent compensated for by that we do have accurate mortality data up to 1 year after surgery. Most of the patients in our cohort had relatively benign indications for warfarin, such as atrial fibrillation. Therefore, the safety of active warfarin reversal in patients on warfarin for reasons other than atrial fibrillation remain unaddressed in this study. The major strengths of the study are the large and homogenous groups, both the study group and the control group. This limits the influence of confounding factors on the results.

Conclusion

We found that the surgical treatment with intramedullary nail within 24 h for patients with trochanteric or subtrochanteric hip fractures on warfarin medication after fast reversing its effect to INR \leq 1.5 using vitamin K and/or PCC is safe.

Abbreviations

ASA class: American Society of Anesthesiologists classification; BL: Blood loss; BV: Estimated blood volume; Hb _{adm}: The haemoglobin value on admission; Hb _{fin}: The final recorded Hb value; Hb _{loss}: The calculated total Hb loss; Hb _{trans}: The total amount of haemoglobin (g) in the transfused RBC units before the measurement of Hb _{fin}; Hb: Haemoglobin; INR: International normalized ratio; NOAKs: Novel oral anticoagulants; PCC: Prothrombin complex concentrate; RBC: Red blood cell

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Evelina Wärle at the Department of Orthopaedics, Stockholm South General Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden for valuable contribution with data collection.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study is available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

LM: study design and planning. Data collection and analysis. Writing of the manuscript. LJL: study design and planning. Writing of the manuscript. AE: study design and planning. Data collection and analysis. Writing of the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was performed according to the Helsinki declaration and approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board in Stockholm, Sweden (Ref.nr. 2015/165–31/4) at 25 February 2015, and a completion of the ethics application (Ref.nr. 2017/1860–32) at 11 October 2017. (email: epn.se/stockholm) Informed consent was waived because of the retrospective design of this study that did not involve any additional risk for patients.

Consent to publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Department of Clinical Science and Education, Stockholm South General Hospital, Karolinska Institutet, Unit of Orthopaedics, S-118 83 Stockholm, Sweden. ²Department of Molecular Medicine and Surgery, Karolinska University Hospital, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. Received: 25 January 2018 Accepted: 6 June 2018 Published online: 26 June 2018

References

- 1. Anticoagulation's therapy in atrial fibrillation National Swedish guidelines.
- 2. The new guidelines from European Society of Cardiology (ESC) 2016. [Internet].
- Anticoagulation's therapy in atrial fibrillation treatment recommendation: Information from the Swedish Medical Products Agency, 2017;28(1):12–31. [Internet].
- Simunovic N, Devereaux PJ, Sprague S, Guyatt GH, Schemitsch E, Debeer J, et al. Effect of early surgery after hip fracture on mortality and complications: systematic review and meta-analysis. CMAJ. 2010;182(15):1609–16.
- Desai SJ, Wood KS, Marsh J, Bryant D, Abdo H, Lawendy A-R, et al. Factors affecting transfusion requirement after hip fracture: can we reduce the need for blood? Can J Surg. 2014;57(5):342–8.
- Foss NB, Kehlet H. Hidden blood loss after surgery for hip fracture. Bone Joint Surg Br. 2006;88(8):1053–9.
- Ashouri F, Al-Jundi W, Patel A, Mangwani J. Management of Warfarin Anticoagulation in patients with fractured neck of femur. ISRN Hematology. 2011;2011:294628.
- White RH, McKittrick T, Hutchinson R, Twitchell J. Temporary discontinuation of warfarin therapy: changes in the international normalized ratio. Ann Intern Med. 1995;122(1):40–2.
- Charalambous CP, Yarwood S, Paschalides C, Siddique I, Hirst P, Paul A. Factors delaying surgical treatment of hip fractures in elderly patients. Ann R Coll Surg Engl. 2003;85(2):117–9.
- Tharmarajah P, Pusey J, Keeling D, Willett K. Efficacy of warfarin reversal in orthopedic trauma surgery patients. J Orthop Trauma. 2007;21(1):26–30.
- Purmonen T, Törmälehto S, Säävuori N, Kokki H. Budget impact analysis of warfarin reversal therapies among hip fracture patients in Finland. Drugs in R&D. 2015;15(1):155–62.
- 12. Gao F-Q, Li Z-J, Zhang K, Sun W, Zhang H. Four methods for calculating blood-loss after Total knee arthroplasty. Chin Med J. 2015;128(21):2856–60.
- Uzoigwe CE, Burnand HG, Cheesman CL, Aghedo DO, Faizi M, Middleton RG. Early and ultra-early surgery in hip fracture patients improves survival. Injury. 2013;44(6):726–9.
- Moja L, Piatti A, Pecoraro V, Ricci C, Virgili G, Salanti G, et al. Timing matters in hip fracture surgery: patients operated within 48 hours have better outcomes. A meta-analysis and meta-regression of over 190,000 patients. PLoS One. 2012;7(10):e46175.
- Aqil A, Hossain F, Sheikh H, Aderinto J, Whitwell G, Kapoor H. Achieving hip fracture surgery within 36 hours: an investigation of risk factors to surgical delay and recommendations for practice. J Orthop Traumatol. 2016;17(3):207–13.
- Colais P, Di Martino M, Fusco D, Perucci CA, Davoli M. The effect of early surgery after hip fracture on 1-year mortality. BMC Geriatr. 2015;15:141.
- Carretta E, Bochicchio V, Rucci P, Fabbri G, Laus M, Fantini MP. Hip fracture: effectiveness of early surgery to prevent 30-day mortality. Int Orthop. 2011;35(3):419–24.
- Lawrence JE, Fountain DM, Cundall-Curry DJ, Carrothers AD. Do patients taking warfarin experience delays to theatre, longer hospital stay, and poorer survival after hip fracture? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2017;475(1):273–9.
- Dettoni F, Castoldi F, Giai Via A, Parisi S, Bonasia DE, Rossi R. Influence of timing and oral anticoagulant/antiplatelet therapy on outcomes of patients affected by hip fractures. European J Trauma and Emerg Surg : Official Publicof the European Trauma Soc. 2011;37(5):511–8.
- Bhatia M, Talawadekar G, Parihar S, Smith A. An audit of the role of vitamin K in the reversal of international normalised ratio (INR) in patients undergoing surgery for hip fracture. Ann R Coll Surg Engl. 2010;92(6):473–6.
- Moores TS, Beaven A, Cattell AE, Baker C, Roberts PJ. Preoperative warfarin reversal for early hip fracture surgery. J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong). 2015;23(1):33–6.
- 22. Asad EP, Nicole MA, Brian LE. Prothrombin complex concentrate for critical bleeding. Ann Pharmacother. 2011;45(7–8):990–9.
- 23. Palareti G. A guide to oral anticoagulant therapy. Italian Fed of Anticoagulation Clin Haemost. 1998;28(Suppl 1):1–46.
- 24. Kumar D, Mbako AN, Riddick A, Patil S, Williams P. On admission haemoglobin in patients with hip fracture. Injury. 2011;42(2):167–70.
- Smith GH, Tsang J, Molyneux SG, White TO. The hidden blood loss after hip fracture. Injury. 2011;42(2):133–5.

- Kadar A, Chechik O, Steinberg E, Reider E, Sternheim A. Predicting the need for blood transfusion in patients with hip fractures. Int Orthop. 2013;37(4):693–700.
- Swain DG, Nightingale PG, Patel JV. Blood transfusion requirements in femoral neck fracture. Injury. 2000;31(1):7–10.
- Cohn MR, Levack AE, Trivedi NN, Villa JC, Wellman DS, Lyden JP, Lorich DG, Lane JM. The hip fracture patient on warfarin: evaluating blood loss and time to surgery. J Orthop Trauma. 2017;31(8):407–13.
- Fazal MA, Bagley C, Garg P. Predictors for perioperative blood transfusion in elderly patients with extra capsular hip fractures treated with cephalomedullary nailing. Chin J Traumatol. 2018;21(1):16–9.
- Boone C, Carlberg KN, Koueiter DM. Short versus long intramedullary nails for treatment of intertrochanteric femur fractures (OTA 31-A1 and A2). J Orthop Trauma. 2014;28:e96–e100.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

